## Supplemental Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics at the time of CEA

Carotid Mild (<50%)
Stenosis (N=32)
72.0 (65.5-76.0)
8 (25.0)
$30.0 \pm 4.4$
133.5 ± 19.1
71.7 ± 12.3
20 (62.5)
27 (84.3)
27 (84.3)
8 (25.0)
13.6 (12.4-14.2)
6.9 (5.9-8.6)
105.5 (96.2-132.5)
5.9 (5.4-6-6)
1.0 (0.8-1.1)
148.5 (135.0-177.5)
78.5 (64.7-106.2)
43.0 (36.7-56.0)

Triglycerides (mg/dL)	122.0 (78.0-162.5)
Uric acid (mg/dL)	6.2 (4.3-7.2)
hs-CRP (mg/L)	2.2 (0.4-22.4)
Cardiovascular history	
Ischemic stroke - no. (%)	18 (56.2)
TIA - no. (%)	9 (28.1)
Amaurosis fugax - no. (%)	5 (15.6)
Recurrent ischemic cerebrovascular events	
related to ipsilateral carotid disease - no.	23 (71.8)
(%)	
Number of recurrent events/patient	4 (3-5)
Time between cerebrovascular ischemic	39.0 (6.0-124.0)
event and CEA (days)	
Time between cerebrovascular ischemic	26.0 (81.2 )
event and CEA ≤6 mono. (%)	
Medications at the surgical evaluation	
Antiplatelet therapy - no. (%)	32 (100)
Monotherapy antiplatelet - no. (%)	17 (53.1)
Dual/triple antiplatelet therapy - no. (%)	15 (46.8)
Aspirin - no. (%)	30 (93.7)
Clopidogrel - no. (%)	16 (50.0)
Warfarin - no. (%) <sup>a</sup>	2 (6.2)

Statin - no. (%)	25 (78.1)
B-blocker - no. (%)	13 (40.6)
ACE-inhibitor - no. (%)	6 (18.7)
Ca-channel blocker - no. (%)	3 (9.3)
AT II-receptor blocker - no. (%)	8 (25.0)
Diuretic - no. (%)	7 (21.8)
Nitrate - no. (%)	5 (15.6)
Allopurinol - no. (%)	1 (3.1)

<sup>a</sup>Two patients were on Warfarin due to recurrent strokes prior to CEA. These patients were treated prior to the results of the RESPECT-ESUS and NAVIGATE ESUS trials.[1, 2]

Values are presented as means (standard deviations) or median (interquartile range) for continuous variables and percentages for dichotomous or categorical variables.

BMI, body mass index; Hb A1C, hemoglobin A1C; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; TIA, transient ischemic attack; CEA, carotid endarterectomy; ACE-inhibitor, angiotensin-converting enzyme-inhibitors; Ca-channel, Calcium-channel; AT II-receptor blocker, angiotensin II-receptor blocker; RESPECT-ESUS, Randomized, Double-Blind, Evaluation in Secondary Stroke Prevention Comparing the Efficacy and Safety of the Oral Thrombin Inhibitor Dabigatran Etexilate Versus Acetylsalicylic Acid in Patients With Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source; NAVIGATE-ESUS, New Approach Rivaroxaban Inhibition of Factor Xa in a Global Trial versus ASA to Prevent Embolism in Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source

## References

- Hart RG, Sharma M, Mundl H, et al. Rivaroxaban for Stroke Prevention after Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source. N Engl J Med 2018;378(23):2191-201. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1802686 [published Online First: 2018/05/17]
- Diener HC, Sacco RL, Easton JD, et al. Antithrombotic Treatment of Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source: RE-SPECT ESUS Elderly and Renally Impaired Subgroups. Stroke 2020;51(6):1758-65. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.028643 [published Online First: 2020/05/15]