Impact of aspiration catheter size on first-pass effect in the combined use of contact aspiration and stent retriever technique
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ABSTRACT

Background and purpose The first-pass effect (FPE), defined as a first-pass Expanded Treatment in Cerebral Ischaemia (eTICI) 2c/3 reperfusion, has emerged as a key metric of efficacy in mechanical thrombectomy (MT) for acute ischaemic stroke. The proximal balloon occlusion together with direct thrombus aspiration during stent retriever thrombectomy (PROTECT-)PLUS technique consists in the use of a balloon guide catheter and a combined MT approach involving contact aspiration and a stent retriever. The aim of this study is to assess the effectiveness and safety of the PROTECT-PLUS technique using distal aspiration catheters (DACs) with different inner diameters by comparing the large-bore DAC Catalyst 7 versus the use of medium-bore DACs.

Methods Retrospective analysis of a prospectively maintained database of patients treated with PROTECT-PLUS using Catalyst 7, Catalyst 6 or Catalyst 5 with an occlusion of either the terminal carotid artery or the M1 or M2 segments of the middle cerebral artery from 2018 to 2020 in two comprehensive stroke centres. Baseline characteristics and procedural, safety and clinical outcomes were compared between groups. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed in order to find independent predictors of FPE.

Results We identified 238 consecutive patients treated with PROTECT-PLUS as front-line approach using Catalyst 7 (n=86), Catalyst 6 (n=78) and Catalyst 5 (n=76). The rate of FPE was higher with Catalyst 7 (54%) than Catalyst 6 (33%, p=0.009) and Catalyst 5 (32%, p=0.005), in addition to higher final eTICI 2c/3 reperfusion rates, shorter procedural times, lower need of rescue therapy and fewer procedure-related complications. After multivariable analysis the sole independent factor associated to FPE was the use of Catalyst 7 (OR 2.34; 95% CI 1.19 to 4.58; p=0.014).

Conclusion Further development of combined MT by incorporating larger-bore aspiration catheters is associated with higher reperfusion rates, shorter procedure times, and lower need of rescue therapy while reducing the complication rates.

INTRODUCTION

After landmark randomised controlled trials showed that mechanical thrombectomy (MT) with stent retrievers, with or without intravenous administration of fibrinolysis, is the treatment of choice in patients with intracranial anterior circulation large vessel occlusion (LVO), procedural and technological efforts have been made to continuously enhance the technical aspects of MT.

Successful reperfusion has traditionally been defined as Modified Treatment in Cerebral Ischaemia (mTICI) score 2b/3, meaning reperfusion of at least half of the affected territory. However, it has become increasingly evident that the clinical outcome of patients with only half of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory reperfused is not as good as those where Expanded Treatment in Cerebral Ischaemia (eTICI) score 2c/3 is achieved, therefore complete/near complete reperfusion should be the aim. Furthermore, the first-pass effect (FPE), defined as a single-pass eTICI 2c/3 reperfusion with no use of additional passes/devices, has been proven to be an independent predictor of good outcome, probably as fast complete reperfusion avoids multiple thrombectomy passes with the added risk of endothelial injury and emboli to underserved territories.

A modification of the technique that has become widely accepted in recent years is the use of balloon guide catheters (BGCs), and multiple techniques for faster and more efficient thrombus extraction have evolved, including front-line aspiration and combined approaches. Several advantages of the latter have been described, including pinching the thrombus between the stent retriever and the distal aspiration catheter (DAC), allowing the clot to be held more effectively during removal, while reducing the contact surface between the stent retriever and the vessel wall, thus potentially lowering the risk of vascular injury.
Recently, the authors suggested the use of BGC along with combined MT technique, withdrawing the stent retriever device inside the DAC (proximal balloon occlusion together with direct thrombus aspiration during stent retriever thrombectomy (PROTECT) technique). 16 Maus et al. 13 described a modification of the combined MT approach, the stent retriever assisted vacuum-locked extraction (SAVE) technique, consisting in the deployment of the stent retriever over the occlusion, the advancement of the DAC to the face of the clot and then withdrawing both the stent retriever and the DAC as a unit. Subsequently, as a further development, the authors introduced the PROTECT-PLUS technique, a combination of SAVE and PROTECT techniques. 16

The use of DACs with various inner diameters has already been assessed with the direct aspiration first-pass technique (ADAPT) 17 18; however, the performance of different size DACs has not been evaluated in combined MT approaches. Our aim in this study was to compare the effectiveness and safety of the PROTECT-PLUS technique using the large-bore DAC AXS Catalyst 7 (Stryker, Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA) versus the use of the medium-bore DACs AXS Catalyst 6 and AXS Catalyst 5 (Stryker).

METHODS
Study design and patient selection
A retrospective analysis of a prospective database of patients undergoing MT for acute ischaemic stroke was conducted at two comprehensive stroke centres. All consecutive patients who had anterior circulation acute ischaemic stroke treated with PROTECT-PLUS as the front-line approach were identified from January 2018 to March 2020. Patients were eligible for MT with PROTECT-PLUS if the CT angiography confirmed the LVO of the terminus internal carotid artery (ICA) or M1/M2 segments of the MCA. Parenchymal infarction was limited to an ASPECTS of >5. No age or perfusion selection was applied.

Device description
The proximal BGC used in all procedures was Flowgate2 (Stryker). The microcatheter employed was a 150 cm long 0.021” Trevo 18 (Stryker). The stent retrievers used were Aperio (Acanbis, Pforzheim, Germany), Catch (Balt, Montmorency, France), Embotrap II (Cerenovus, Galway, Ireland), pREset (Phenoxy, Bochum, Germany) and Trevo XP Provue (Stryker). The 132 cm-long DACs employed were the large-bore 0.068” distal inner diameter Catalyst 7, medium-bore 0.060” distal inner diameter Catalyst 6 and medium-bore 0.058” distal inner diameter Catalyst 5.

The choice of the size of the DAC and the type of stent retriever in each case was primarily driven by availability in the department and ultimately to the criterion of the neurointerventionist.

Interventional protocol
All interventions were performed by seven experienced interventional neuroradiologists, and both centres used the same main devices and the following MT technique. Through a femoral access, the affected side cervical ICA was catheterised using a BGC. The PROTECT-PLUS technique (figure 1) consists in the navigation of the microcatheter under roadmap guidance over a 0.014” microwire distal to the occlusion, using the DAC as a support, usually with no need to advance it primarily beyond the origin of the ophthalmic artery. The stent retriever is deployed using the active push and fluff deployment technique, 19 with the proximal third across the thrombus. The microcatheter is removed completely to increase the aspiration volume of the DAC. In order to advance the DAC to the face of the thrombus (indicated by the presence of a vacuum on the DAC), a slight traction is applied to the stent retriever delivery wire to straighten the vessel curves, providing easier navigation of the DAC. Before reaching the clot with the DAC, aspiration is applied using an electric pump. Finally, a torque device is applied to the pusher wire; the balloon of the BGC is inflated; and the stent retriever and the DAC are slowly retrieved through a femoral access, the affected side cervical ICA was catheterised using a BGC. The PROTECT-PLUS technique (figure 1) consists in the navigation of the microcatheter under roadmap guidance over a 0.014” microwire distal to the occlusion, using the DAC as a support, usually with no need to advance it primarily beyond the origin of the ophthalmic artery. The stent retriever is deployed using the active push and fluff deployment technique, 19 with the proximal third across the thrombus. The microcatheter is removed completely to increase the aspiration volume of the DAC. In order to advance the DAC to the face of the thrombus (indicated by the presence of a vacuum on the DAC), a slight traction is applied to the stent retriever delivery wire to straighten the vessel curves, providing easier navigation of the DAC. Before reaching the clot with the DAC, aspiration is applied using an electric pump. Finally, a torque device is applied to the pusher wire; the balloon of the BGC is inflated; and the stent retriever and the DAC are slowly retrieved through a femoral access, the affected side cervical ICA was catheterised using a BGC. The PROTECT-PLUS technique (figure 1) consists in the navigation of the microcatheter under roadmap guidance over a 0.014” microwire distal to the occlusion, using the DAC as a support, usually with no need to advance it primarily beyond the origin of the ophthalmic artery. The stent retriever is deployed using the active push and fluff deployment technique, 19 with the proximal third across the thrombus. The microcatheter is removed completely to increase the aspiration volume of the DAC. In order to advance the DAC to the face of the thrombus (indicated by the presence of a vacuum on the DAC), a slight traction is applied to the stent retriever delivery wire to straighten the vessel curves, providing easier navigation of the DAC. Before reaching the clot with the DAC, aspiration is applied using an electric pump. Finally, a torque device is applied to the pusher wire; the balloon of the BGC is inflated; and the stent retriever and the DAC are slowly retrieved...
outright back as a unit under constant aspiration both from the BGC and the DAC.

Image and patient analysis

Preintervention and postintervention CT scans, in addition to the procedure angiograms, were independently reviewed by two interventional neuroradiologists. This image review included the initial CT ASPECTS, the occlusion site (terminus ICA, MCA M1/M2 segments and tandem occlusions), the arc type (types I–III),

cervical vessel tortuosity (straight, tortuosity, coiling and kinking), intracranial vessel tortuosity (types IA, IB, II, III and IV), the first-pass and final eTICI reperfusion scores, the presence of emboli to new territory (ENT) after the MT attempt and haemorrhagic complications in the postintervention CT scan.

The patient baseline characteristics, secondary procedural findings and clinical outcomes were collected from a neuroradiological prospective register together with the neurological department. This included the TOAST classification of the stroke (atherothrombotic, cardioembolic, other or undetermined), the time from onset to groin puncture and groin puncture to reperfusion, the devices used, the number of MT attempts, the need of rescue therapy (use of other DAC or technique after failure of the front-line approach) and the Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score at 90 days through face-to-face assessments or standardised telephone interviews.

Outcome

The main outcome of our study was the rate of FPE. Secondary efficacy endpoints included the end-of-procedure eTICI reperfusion score, the overall number of MT attempts, time from femoral puncture to reperfusion and the need of rescue therapy. The safety outcome endpoints recorded were the occurrence of ENT, intracranial vessel dissection, subarachnoid haemorrhage and symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage (sICH). The clinical outcomes included the rate of mortality and good outcome (mRS score 0–2) at 90 days.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics V.25. Patients were divided in three groups according to the DAC used (Catalyst 5, 6 or 7). We compared the baseline characteristics and procedural, safety and clinical outcomes between the Catalyst 7 group and the Catalyst 5 group.

For comparing categorical variables between groups, we used χ² test or Fisher exact test, and when comparing quantitative variables, Mann-Whitney U test was employed. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed in order to identify independent variables associated with first-pass eTICI 2c or 3 reperusions. First, we performed a univariable logistic regression analysis with the variables potentially associated with vessel recanalisation: age, initial CT ASPECTS, baseline NIHSS score, time from onset to groin, use of intravenous thrombolysis, occlusion sites, clot origins, the stroke centre, the stent retriever employed and DACs employed. To maximise sensitivity, those variables with a univariable association of p<0.20 were included into a multivariable logistic regression model. Quantitative variables were not dichotomised but adjusted per 1 year increase (age), 1-point increase (ASPECTS and NIHSS score) and 1 min increase (time from onset to groin). In addition, to ensure that multicollinearity did not impact the results, variance inflation factor was tested on all independent variables. Associations are presented as ORs with corresponding 95% CIs.

RESULTS

Patient inclusion

A total of 238 consecutive patients (mean age 70.4±12.9 years, female: male ratio 140:98) underwent MT for anterior circulation LVO acute ischaemic stroke using PROTECT-PLUS technique as the front-line approach from January 2018 to March 2020 in the two comprehensive stroke centres which participated in the present study. During the period of our study, all medium-bore and large-bore DACs were successfully navigated to reach the thrombus in all patients treated with the intention to apply PROTECT-PLUS. The DACs used during the study period were Catalyst 7 (n=86, 36.1%), Catalyst 6 (n=78, 32.8%) and Catalyst 5 (n=76, 31.9%).

Baseline characteristics

There were no statistical differences between groups regarding demographics, ASPECTS on initial CT, clinical severity using the NIHSS score, the use of intravenous thrombolysis, time from onset to femoral puncture or the use of general anaesthesia (table 1). Groups were also comparable regarding the occlusion site (including tandem occlusions) and the clot aetiology, with no differences in terms of the arc type and the cervical or intracranial vessel tortuosity, in addition to a balanced distribution in the use of the five stent retrievers.

Outcomes

The procedural, safety and clinical outcomes are shown in table 2. After the first pass, the Catalyst 7 group achieved a significantly higher rate of eTICI 2c/3 reperfusion (53.6%) than both the Catalyst 6 (33.3%, p=0.009) and Catalyst 5 (31.6%, p=0.005) groups, in addition to a higher eTICI 2c/3 reperfusion rate at the end of the procedure, 70.2% in the Catalyst 7 group, 50% using Catalyst 6 (p=0.008) and 53.9% with Catalyst 5 (p=0.034) (figure 2).

Overall, in 63 patients (26.5%), the MT approach was changed during the procedure after failure of the front-line PROTECT-PLUS technique, and the most frequently employed rescue therapy was the use of a stent retriever alone (n=42, 66.7%), followed by contact aspiration alone (n=14, 22.2%), balloon angioplasty (n=3, 4.8%), balloon angioplasty+permanent intracranial stent placement (n=2, 3.2%) and intravenous glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors alone (n=2, 3.2%). In cases where balloon...
angioplasty±intracranial stenting was performed, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors had previously been administered. The need of rescue therapy was significantly lower in the Catalyst 7 group (n=13, 15.5%) compared with the Catalyst 6 (n=25, 32.1%; p=0.013) and Catalyst 5 (n=25, 32.9%; p=0.010) groups, in addition to a lower overall number of thrombectomy passes with the use of Catalyst 7. Time from groin puncture to reperfusion was shorter with Catalyst seven than Catalyst 5 (30.5 min vs 38.5 min, p=0.044), whereas compared with the Catalyst 6 group (36.5 min) it did not reach statistical significance (p=0.154).

In terms of safety outcomes, we found a lower incidence of subarachnoid haemorrhage in the Catalyst 7 cohort when compared with the Catalyst 5 group (1.3% vs 7.9%, p=0.038). No differences were found between the groups regarding the rate of sICH, the occurrence of ENT and iatrogenic vessel dissection. Nevertheless, considering the
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The total number of procedure-related complications, the use of Catalyst 7 was associated with fewer overall complications (n=5, 5.9%) compared with Catalyst 6 (n=13, 16.7%; p=0.030) and Catalyst 5 (n=13, 17.1%; p=0.026).

The clinical outcomes were comparable between groups with an overall mortality at 3 months of 19.7% (n=47) and a trend towards a higher mRS score of 0–2 at 90 days from the smallest to the largest DAC, 46.1% (n=35) with Catalyst 5, 50% (n=39) using Catalyst 6 and 54.8% (n=46) with Catalyst 7. Among patients in whom FPE was achieved, 66.7% reached functional independence at 3 months; however, this rate decreased to 40.1% when complete reperfusion after the first pass was not achieved, with statistically significant differences (p<0.001).

Predictors of FPE
To find independent predictors associated with FPE, variables with a p value of <0.20 in the univariable logistic regression analysis (initial CT ASPECTS, M2 occlusion and use of Aperio, Embotrap, Trevo, Catalyst 5 and Catalyst 7) were included in the multivariable logistic regression analysis (table 3), where the sole independent factor associated with FPE was the use of Catalyst 7 (p=0.014) with an OR of 2.34 (95% CI 1.19 to 4.58).

DISCUSSION
Overall, our findings suggest that the use of a larger-bore DAC may lead to improved efficacy of the combined MT technique compared with medium-bore DACs. By applying this technical modification, the rate of FPE was further increased, as the use of Catalyst 7 was the only predictor of FPE after multivariable analysis adjustment.

Catalyst 7 is currently the DAC with the largest distal inner diameter available that is fully compatible with the
most widely used BGC, the FlowGate2. The suction force on the DAC tip is the product of the applied pressure and the cross-sectional area of the catheter; therefore, the catheter with the largest tip diameter will apply the greatest force. Since the distal inner diameters of Catalyst 5, 6 and 7 are 1.47, 1.52 and 1.73 mm, respectively, Catalyst 7 generates approximately 38% more aspiration force than Catalyst 5% and 30% higher than Catalyst 6, which may explain its increased efficacy.

The performance of larger-diameter DACs in ADAPT has already been evaluated. The work of Delgado Almazán et al showed that the use of the large-bore ACE 68 (Penumbra, Alameda, California, USA) DAC was associated with shorter groin puncture to reperfusion time, higher rate of successful reperfusion and lower rate of stent retriever rescue compared with ACE 60 and ACE 64. Alawieh et al also compared multiple DACs with various inner diameters employing ADAPT, and although there were no differences in the reperfusion rates, they found a trend towards a gradual decrease in the use of rescue therapy and procedure times moving from smallest to largest catheter. However, these studies did not analyse

Table 3  Results of the multicollinearity test and univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses for first-pass effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VIF</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Univariable logistic regression analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (per 1 year increase)</td>
<td>1.377</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.985 to 1.026</td>
<td>0.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial CT ASPECTS (per 1-point increase)</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>1.110</td>
<td>0.946 to 1.303</td>
<td>0.192*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline NIHSS score (per 1-point increase)</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.961 to 1.041</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset to puncture time (per 1 min increase)</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.997 to 1.001</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of intravenous tPA</td>
<td>1.269</td>
<td>1.199</td>
<td>0.706 to 2.037</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of general anaesthesia</td>
<td>1.795</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.494 to 1.409</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid T occlusion</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>1.082</td>
<td>0.617 to 1.899</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA M1 occlusion‡</td>
<td>1.268</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>2.136</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA M2 occlusion</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.259 to 1.713</td>
<td>0.122*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherothrombotic origin</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.274 to 1.452</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardioembolic origin‡</td>
<td>1.151</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>1.958</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other origin</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.033 to 2.508</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined origin</td>
<td>1.265</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>0.677 to 2.225</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke centre 1†</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>2.059</td>
<td>0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke centre 2</td>
<td>2.017</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>0.486 to 1.395</td>
<td>0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Aperio</td>
<td>1.880</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.228 to 1.078</td>
<td>0.077*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Catch</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>0.419 to 2.799</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Embotrap</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.198 to 1.394</td>
<td>0.196*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of pREset†</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Trevo</td>
<td>2.115</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>1.012 to 3.205</td>
<td>0.046*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Catalyst 5†</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0.061*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Catalyst 6</td>
<td>2.299</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.398 to 1.224</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Catalyst 7</td>
<td>2.284</td>
<td>2.330</td>
<td>1.352 to 4.017</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable logistic regression analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial CT ASPECTS</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>1.388</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA M2 occlusion‡</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>1.316</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Aperio</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Embotrap</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Trevo</td>
<td>1.383</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>2.623</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of catalyst 5‡</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>1.765</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of catalyst 7‡</td>
<td>2.336</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>4.581</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers marked in bold indicate statistically significant differences (P<0.05) in the multivariable analysis.
*Variables with P<0.20 in univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis.
†Independent variables excluded from multicollinearity test (predicted from one or more of the other independent variables).
ASPECTS, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score; eTICI, expanded thrombolysis in cerebral ischaemia; MCA, middle cerebral artery; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; tPA, tissue-type plasminogen activator; VIF, variance inflation factor.
FPE rates but rather employed the modified FPE (mTICI 2b/3 after the first pass), and they did not fully provide the rate of failure to advance the DAC to the point of occlusion. One major concern about the use of large-bore DACs is navigability, especially in case of significant tortuosity in the carotid siphon. In our study, we managed to reach the thrombus with both the stent retriever and the DAC in all patients with the intention to apply PROTECT-PLUS, with no differences between groups in terms of the type of arch or cervical or intracranial vascular tortuosity. One of the advantages of employing combined MT techniques is the possibility of using larger-calibre DACs, regardless of vascular tortuosity, since deploying the stent retriever serves as an anchor to advance the DAC more easily to the face of the thrombus.

A potential drawback of the combined use of large-bore DACs with BGC is that the gap between the catheter lumen of the BGC and the aspiration catheter is too small, limiting the proximal aspiration force from the BGC. Nevertheless, it is at least possible to obtain local stasis in the ICA during the manoeuvre by inflating the balloon, and flow reversal is obtained immediately on withdrawal of the DAC and stent retriever to remove possible debris in the ICA and the risk of ENT.

By applying the larger-bore DAC, there was a significantly lower need of rescue therapy as well as a lower number of thrombectomy passes as compared with the medium-bore DACs. Concerning the latter point, recent data indicate that an increased number of thrombectomy attempts may have a negative impact on patients’ clinical outcome. An advantage of fewer manoeuvres is that an increased number of passes can result in a higher rate of vessel dissections and haemorrhagic complications both by a potential perforation with the microcath and vessel stretching when performing an MT pass with stent retriever, which may explain the higher rate of subarachnoid haemorrhage in the Catalyst 5 group. In addition, a higher number of manoeuvres is associated with lengthy procedures, with a higher risk of microembolism formation, which is another aspect that is not or not fully reflected in the current TICI-based grading scheme. In our study, we found shorter procedural times (from groin puncture to recanalisation) in the Catalyst 7 group compared with the Catalyst 5 group.

Concerning the safety outcomes, fewer overall procedure-related complications were encountered with the use of Catalyst 7, probably due to the higher aspiration force and the need for fewer thrombectomy passes. An important aspect addressed was the reduction of ENT, a complication consequence of thrombus fragmentation with the risk of occluding an artery that may be providing leptomeningeal collateral circulation to the ischaemic territory. Moreover, there is an added risk of producing new infarcts in a previously unaffected territory, with a significant worsening of the patient’s clinical outcomes. Although the difference was not statistically significant, we found a tendency to a lower rate of ENT using Catalyst 7 compared with using the Catalyst 5 and 6.

Recent evidence shows that improving the rate of complete first-pass reperfusion and decreasing the number of thrombectomy passes in total as well as the procedure time will ultimately result in clinical improvement and benefit for the patients. In our study, considering all patients treated with PROTECT-PLUS, the achievement of an FPE was associated with better clinical outcomes. Interestingly, although nearly all the aforementioned aspects of ‘really successful’ reperfusion were satisfactorily accomplished with the use of Catalyst 7, no statistically significant differences between groups were observed in terms of functional independence at 3 months. However, there was a trend towards a higher rate of mRS score of 0–2 as the diameter of the DAC increased, 46% with Catalyst 5, 50% with Catalyst 6% and 55% with Catalyst 7. We believe that controlled clinical trials with a larger number of patients would more clearly address the clinical implications of using larger DACs in combined MT techniques.

Our work has limitations, which need to be considered when interpreting the results. First, as an observational retrospective study, it suffers from inherent methodological restrictions and a degree of selection bias may have been incurred; nonetheless, the study was conducted in two different comprehensive stroke centres and the cases included were consecutive patients with the intention to apply PROTECT-PLUS. We believe that the selection bias is of minor importance as the catheter selections were primarily driven by the availability in the department and not by the anatomy or vessel size. Furthermore, while both comprehensive stroke centres that included patients in this study carried out the same technique with the same main devices, there are intangible details regarding the working methods of both groups that could have influenced the results. In order to address this issue, we included the stroke centre as an independent variable in the logistic regression analysis. Finally, while the fact that different models and sizes of stent retrievers were employed should be mentioned as a possible bias, all stent retrievers were state-of-the-art devices with a balanced distribution of the type of stent retriever used among groups and also included in the logistic regression analysis.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, the use of the larger-bore aspiration catheter as a further improvement of the combined MT technique led to a higher rate of FPE and higher reperfusion rates at the end of the procedure, a decreased number of thrombectomy attempts, shorter procedural times and lower need of rescue therapy while reducing the overall procedure-related complications compared with the use of medium-bore aspiration catheters.
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