Retinal microvascular signs and recurrent vascular events in patients with TIA or minor stroke
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ABSTRACT

Background and purpose Retinal pathologies are an independent risk factor for ischaemic stroke, but research on the predictive value of retinal abnormalities for recurrent vascular events in patients with prior stroke is inconclusive. We investigated the association of retinal pathologies with subsequent vascular events.

Methods In a substudy of the intensified secondary prevention intending a reduction of recurrent events in TIA and minor stroke patients (INSPIRE-TMS) trial, we enrolled patients with recent transient ischaemic attack (TIA) or minor stroke with at least one modifiable risk factor. Primary outcome was the composite of subsequent vascular events. Retinal photographs were taken at baseline and categorised into three different fundus groups by a telemedically linked ophthalmologist.

Results 722 patients participated in the current study and 109 major vascular events occurred. After multivariable adjustments, we did not find a significant association between fundus categories and risk for subsequent vascular events. Retinal comparisons between no retinopathy and vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction in comparison to no vascular retinopathy (HR 1.03 (95% CI 0.64 to 1.76), p=0.905) and vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction (HR 0.626). In a selective post hoc analysis in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension, patients with vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction had a higher risk for recurrent stroke (HR 24.14 (95% CI 2.74 to 212.50), p=0.004).

Conclusions Retinal changes did not predict major subsequent vascular events in patients with recent TIA or minor stroke. Further studies are needed to examine the utility of fundus photography in assessing the risk of stroke recurrence in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension.

INTRODUCTION

Microvascular changes of the retina have been linked to a higher risk of incidental ischaemic stroke as well as cardiovascular and all-cause mortality independent of long-established risk factors such as arterial hypertension and diabetes mellitus.1,2

In particular, associations between retinal changes and cerebrovascular diseases were extensively investigated as the retina embryologically developed from the neural plate and therefore may act as a surrogate for the cerebral microvasculature.3 Furthermore, alterations in retinal vessels might reflect pathologies in the systemic microcirculation of other organs especially in the heart and kidneys.4 These relationships have been shown predominantly in individuals free of any vascular event.

Patients with recent transient ischaemic attack (TIA) or minor stroke are at particular risk for developing subsequent strokes.5 In addition, these patients have an increased risk for other cardiovascular events such as myocardial infarction or vascular death.6 Even though prediction scores, such as the ABCD2 (acronym for age, blood pressure, clinical findings, duration of symptoms and presence or absence of diabetes) score, have shown good predictive value for short-term prognosis after TIA, there remain uncertainties regarding the long-term risk for recurrent adverse events. Fundus photography might offer an additional tool for stratifying those patients, as assessment of the retinal microvasculature might help in predicting future cardiovascular events.7

Prior studies mainly focused on predicting major vascular events through fundus photography.
photography in individuals without history of cerebrovascular events.

In this study, we examined the association between certain fundus pathologies and risk for subsequent vascular events after TIA or minor stroke. For a more practical approach, we classified the retinal images using a telematic grading system in different fundus groups.

The current report is a substudy of the multicentre, secondary stroke prevention Intensified secondary prevention Intensified secondary prevention intending a reduction of recurrent events in TIA and minor stroke patients (INSPIRE-TMS) study.10

METHODS
Study population and design
The INSPIRE-TMS trial was a multicentre two-armed, secondary prevention study examining the effectiveness of a multifaceted support programme for reducing recurrent major vascular events, described in detail elsewhere.10 In this substudy, patients were included if they were recruited for the trial at the Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin—Campus Benjamin Franklin study centre.

In short, patients were recruited between 2011 and 2017 if they had a TIA or minor stroke within 14 days before study inclusion, were independent according the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) of 2 or below and had an ABCD2 score of 3 or above or an acute ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke in cerebral imaging. Additionally, eligible patients had to have one modifiable cardiovascular risk factor (ie, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation or current tobacco smoking). Participants were randomised to either the standard care group or the intensified secondary prevention group, which provided standard care plus a support programme with eight outpatient visits over the first 2 years. During these appointments, risk factor targets were assessed by trained study nurses and stroke physicians. The support programme was based on motivational interviewing.11

Study data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools.12

Patients with severe cognitive disability, malignant disease with life expectancy of <3 years, a current substance abuse (except for nicotine) and ischaemic stroke or TIA of an aetiology without evidence-based secondary prevention options (eg, dissection or vasculitis) were excluded from the study.

Assessment of stroke, risk factors and vascular outcomes
Eligible patients were evaluated by a stroke physician. Stroke subgroups were classified according to Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST). The National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) and mRS were used to determine the extent of neurological deficits. Secondary prevention targets for modifiable risk factors were adopted from the guidelines for secondary prevention after stroke of the German Society of Neurology13 and European Stroke Organisation.14

Patients with a symptomatic carotid stenosis or unstable symptomatic plaque were treated according the aggressive treatment targets from the Stenting and Aggressive Medical Management for Preventing Recurrent Stroke in Intracranial Stenosis (SAMMPRIS) trial.15

Primary outcome was the composite of major vascular events consisting of subsequent stroke (either ischaemic or haemorrhagic), acute coronary syndrome (including ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction and unstable angina pectoris) or vascular death. Data about vascular events were obtained during annual appointments and, if available, confirmed by medical reports. In case of death, death certificates were obtained from local authorities.

Retinal imaging
Fundus photographs of both eyes were taken after randomisation with a 45-degree non-mydriatic colour fundus camera (KOWA NM-45, non-mydriatic-alpha) centred at the optic nerve head. Because we refrained from using a mydriatic agent to dilate patients’ pupils, participants were placed in a darkened room for 5 min prior to the photograph in order to widen pupils through dark adaptation. The images were uploaded to the cloud-based software MedStage (Siemens, Medical Product, class IIa, Talkingeys & More, Erlangen)16 17 and saved in the MedStage-patient chart. An experienced senior ophthalmologist (GM from Department of Ophthalmology Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg) classified—using a standardised protocol through the Tele-Ophthalmic Consultation Service Talkingeys (Talkingeys & More)—the pictures into three different fundus categories: no or mild vascular retinopathy, moderate vascular retinopathy and vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction. The fundus groups were defined by the presence and severity of focal and general arteriolar narrowing, arteriovenous nicking and rarefaction of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Fundus groups specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No or mild vascular retinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriolar narrowing</td>
<td>Absent or age-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriovenous nicking</td>
<td>Absent or age-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarefaction of arterioles and venules</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

arterioles and venules (table 1). Prior works of the examiner on the reliability of assessing different retinal pathologies revealed a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.77. Because the left and right eye were examined distinctively, we excluded patients from the analysis if classification for each eye yielded different fundus groups.

Statistical analysis

SPSS (IBM, V.27) was used for all statistical procedures. Prevalences of risk factors, baseline characteristics and past vascular events were compared between fundus groups. We used Cox regression models to analyse the predictive value of fundus pathologies on risk of vascular events for the primary composite outcome and separated for stroke, acute coronary syndrome and vascular death. We selected the no or mild vascular retinopathy group as the reference category. The model was adjusted for sex, age (years), body mass index (BMI), ABCD2, index event type, study arm, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation, current smoking, stroke aetiology according to TOAST and carotid surgery to minimise a bias through possible confounders. HRs and 95% CIs are reported.

Following the main analysis, we carried out a selective subgroup analysis in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension. Those cardiovascular diseases are well-established risk factors for incidental vascular events and act synergistically for recurrent ischaemic stroke as expressed in the ABCD2 score and in the CHA2DS2-VASc score. Also, diabetes mellitus and hypertension are frequent causes for retinal pathologies. An interaction analysis revealed a significant interaction between diabetes mellitus and hypertension and vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction on the risk for recurrent ischaemic stroke (p=0.006) but not for composite vascular events (p=0.342). No statistically significant interaction was shown when testing for the other outcome events. HRs were only computed for composite vascular events, recurrent stroke and vascular deaths because no acute coronary syndromes occurred in the fundus category vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction. P values <0.05 were defined as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Study population

In total, we enrolled 954 patients at the Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Benjamin Franklin study site, of which 841 participants received a fundus photograph. We excluded 119 patients due to ungradable pictures, missing data and study protocol violations at study inclusion (ie, stroke not being most probable diagnosis and missing option for evidence-based secondary prevention). Hence, we included 722 patients in the final analysis (figure 1). Among the 722 subjects included in the final analysis, mean age was 69 years (SD 10 years), 34.8% were female, median ABCD2 was 4 (IQR 4–5) and median NIHSS was 1 (IQR 0–2). Incidental stroke aetiologies according to TOAST were distributed as followed:
9.3% large vessel atherosclerosis, 18.4% cardioembolic, 8.2% small vessel disease, 1.5% other causes and 62.6% undetermined aetiology (6.5% competing causes, 24.3% no potential cause, 31.1% incomplete diagnostics, 38.1% unknown reasons).

The baseline characteristics and distribution of cardiovascular risk factors separated for the different outcome events are shown in table 2. In total, 109 vascular events occurred during the course of the trial. Most of these events were subsequent strokes (n=74, 65.4%), followed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Baseline characteristics for study cohort by composite outcome and vascular events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (n=722)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Composite events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n=109) No (n=613)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recurrent stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n=74) No (n=20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute coronary syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (±SD)</td>
<td>69 (±10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study arm, n (%)</td>
<td>Conventional care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intensified care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BM (kg/m²), mean (±SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC2, median (IQR)</td>
<td>4 (4–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS, median (IQR)*</td>
<td>1 (0–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid surgery, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular risk factors, n (%)</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index event, n (%)</td>
<td>TIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke aetiology, n (%)</td>
<td>Large vessel atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardioembolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small vessel disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other aetiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undetermined cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous vascular event, n (%)</td>
<td>TIA†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stroke‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myocardial infarction§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fundus groups, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No or mild vascular retinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate vascular retinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ABCD2, age, blood pressure, clinical findings, duration of symptoms and presence or absence of diabetes; BMI, body mass index; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
by acute coronary syndromes (n=20, 18.3%) and vascular deaths (n=15, 13.1%). The majority of the examined retinas were classified as moderate vascular retinopathy (65.5%), followed by no or mild vascular retinopathy (21.5%) and vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction (13.0%).

Vascular risk factors between fundus groups were distributed as follows (online supplemental table 1). Patients classified as vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction had the highest rates of hypertension. Furthermore, these patients were older and their index event was classified more often as small vessel disease compared with the rest of the cohort. On the other hand, patients with no or mild vascular retinopathy were more often affected by diabetes mellitus and were more frequently tobacco smokers.

**Subsequent vascular events in all patients**

Bivariate Cox regression analysis did not yield a significant predictive value between fundus groups and any subsequent vascular event (HR for moderate vascular retinopathy and vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction in comparison with no fundus changes 1.19 (95% CI 0.75 to 1.88), p=0.469 and 1.40 (95% CI 0.79 to 2.47), p=0.248, respectively). HRs for different outcomes are shown in the online supplemental table 2.

After adjusting the model for age, sex, BMI, ABCD2, index event type, study arm, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation, current smoking, stroke aetiology and carotid surgery, there was no independent association between fundus categories and the composite of vascular events or any subcategory of recurrent vascular events (table 3). HR for subsequent composite vascular events in patients with moderate vascular retinopathy was 1.03 ((95% CI 0.64 to 1.67), p=0.905) compared with patients that lacked retinal lesions (ie, no or mild vascular retinopathy). In patients with vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction, HR was 1.17 ((95% CI 0.62 to 2.20), p=0.626) compared with patients classified as no or mild vascular retinopathy.

**Subsequent vascular events in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension**

In a post hoc analysis, we investigated the association between fundus pathologies and recurrent vascular events in patients diagnosed with diabetes mellitus and hypertension (table 4 and online supplemental table 3).

In total, 154 patients had a diagnosis of both arterial hypertension and diabetes mellitus. Most of these patients were classified as moderate vascular retinopathy (66.9%), followed by no or mild vascular retinopathy (22.1%), and vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction (11.0%), respectively. Subsequent vascular events in this subgroup were distributed as follows: 20 (62.5%) recurrent strokes, 7 (21.9%) acute coronary syndromes and 5 (15.6%) vascular deaths.

In line with our main findings, in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension the Cox proportional hazards
after multivariable adjustments (ie, age, sex, BMI, ABCD2, index event type, study arm, atrial fibrillation, current smoking, stroke aetiology and carotid surgery) did not show a statistically significant association between fundus changes and the composite of vascular events (HR for vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction compared with no or mild vascular retinopathy 2.11 (95% CI 0.47 to 9.54), p=0.333). When examining the possible relationship between fundus groups and different outcome events, we found a statistically significant association between vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction and an elevated risk for recurrent stroke compared with patients with no or mild vascular retinopathy (HR 24.14 (95% CI 2.74 to 212.50), p=0.004) but not for vascular death (HR 8.15 (95% CI 0.25 to 262.14), p=0.236).

**DISCUSSION**

In this substudy of the INSPIRE-TMS trial of patients with TIA or minor stroke, no independent association was found between retinal pathologies categorised into different severity levels and the risk for subsequent vascular events. Retinal pathologies were also not correlated with single major vascular events, that is, recurrent stroke, acute coronary syndrome and vascular death.

Different retinal parameters and their association with the risk of major vascular events have already been studied in several aspects. In our study, we focused on fundus parameters which are relatively easy to assess by a telemedical approach: arteriolar narrowing, arteriovenous nicking and vessel rarefaction. Arteriolar narrowing describes a tapering of arterioles width compared with venules. Arteriovenous nicking is characterised by a reduction of vessel diameters before and after arteriovenous junctions. Vessel rarefaction represents an overall reduction of vessel density in the retina. These pathologies of the retinal microvasculature can be observed in patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus.

Our goal was to establish an easy and quick-to-learn classification system of the retina in order to standardise fundus photographs. Therefore, we only used a limited set of parameters to keep the grading as simple as possible. We hypothesised that patients with no or mild vascular retinopathy, who only had marginally changes of the retina, would have a low risk for recurrent vascular events. Contrarily, we speculated that patients with a decent load of retinal pathologies as in the moderate vascular retinopathy group or a high burden of retinal changes as in the vascular retinopathy with vessel rarefaction group would be more likely to be affected by subsequent vascular events.

Data regarding the usefulness of retinal imaging in predicting recurrent vascular events are sparse because most of the studies on predictive value of retinal pathologies were conducted in patients who had not experienced a cerebrovascular event. The most relevant study examining a possible association between fundus changes and recurrent events was published by De Silva et al. In a
prospective cohort study with 652 patients with ischaemic stroke, arteriovenous nicking and severe focal arteriolar narrowing were associated with an elevated risk for recurrent cerebrovascular event. These findings were confirmed by Zhuo et al. Furthermore, Yuanyuan et al identified retinal haemorrhages and exsudates as additional predictors for subsequent strokes. On the other hand, a Swedish retrospective cohort study found no association between the presence of diabetic retinopathy and stroke recurrence in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

In contrast to previous studies, we did not find an independent predictive value of arteriolar narrowing, arteriovenous nicking or vessel rarefaction stratified in different severity categories for the risk of recurrent events. Because all our patients had a TIA or minor stroke as an index event and presence of a cardiovascular risk factor (ie, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation or smoking) was obligatory for study inclusion, our patient cohort had a higher vascular risk than patients in prior reports. Therefore, we also observed higher prevalence of fundus changes. Hence, a possible explanation for our findings might be that the predictive value of retinal parameters depends on the burden of cardiovascular comorbidities as proposed by De Silva et al.

Even though we did not assess parameters of diabetic retinopathy, a post hoc analysis in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension revealed a significant association between retinal changes and risk for subsequent ischaemic stroke. Non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy is defined by the presence of microaneurysms, cotton wool spots (nerve fibre layer infarcts), haemorrhages, hard exudates and intraretinal microvascular abnormalities (ie, shunt-vessels or neovascularisations within the retina). Neovascularisations mark the transition to proliferative diabetic retinopathy and therefore a progressive disease status. Previous research showed that both mild and severe diabetic retinopathy predict incident ischaemic stroke, but recent results regarding patients with diabetic retinopathy and stroke recurrence were inconclusive. Furthermore, patients with hypertension have an elevated risk for incidental vascular events if retinopathy signs were present. The results of the post hoc analysis might implicate that retinal changes (ie, arteriolar narrowing, arteriovenous nicking, vessel rarefaction), which are not directly linked to diabetic retinopathy, are an independent predictor for subsequent stroke in patients with diabetes and hypertension. The synergistic effect of hypertension and diabetes mellitus on the risk for recurrent ischaemic stroke (as expressed in the ABCD2 and CHA2DS2-VASc scores) and on the progression on retinopathy has been widely accepted. It therefore seems plausible that these patients have an additional risk for subsequent vascular events when severe fundus changes are present.

The strengths of the present study are its prospective design as part of a randomised trial, standardised evaluation of stroke through trained stroke physicians and the standardised and independent evaluation of fundus images by an experienced senior ophthalmologist using a telemedical approach. This study is the largest investigation, in terms of number of patients, to examine the association between retinal changes and recurrent vascular events.

Our study has some limitations that need to be discussed. For the definitions of the fundus groups, we only used qualitative parameters. Qualitative parameters might have a lower inter-rater reliability than quantitative parameters. We tried to minimise a potential bias by focusing on just three essential parameters and applying a standardised protocol. In order to increase concordance, only one experienced ophthalmologist graded the images. Also, as the results from the subgroup analysis were derived from a post hoc analysis, these associations need to be treated with caution. We therefore cannot rule out that the reported associations are due to chance. Larger prospective cohort studies are needed to confirm these results. At last, because an uneven distribution of risk factor control between fundus groups might bias the results, we assessed the achievement of secondary prevention targets (online supplemental tables 4-6). Overall, there were no major differences in secondary prevention targets through all examined follow-ups apart from achievements of blood pressure targets and proportion of smokers.

In this prospective study in patients with recent TIA or minor stroke, we did not find an independent correlation between retinal pathologies stratified into different severity categories and risk for vascular events. A post hoc analysis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension hints towards an increased risk for recurrent ischaemic stroke in these patients with severe fundus changes. Further studies are needed to examine the predictive value of non-diabetic retinopathy signs for future vascular events.
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