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ABSTRACT

Background This study aimed to assess whether pregnancy and puerperium were associated with the risk of brain arteriovenous malformation (bAVM) haemorrhage.

Methods A retrospective review was conducted in Xiangya Hospital, Central South University from January 2012 to December 2021. A case–crossover design was adopted to calculate the incidence density of bAVM-related haemorrhage among female patients in risk (pregnancy and puerperium) and control (non-pregnancy and non-puerperium) periods, according to four scenarios observed in different populations (scenario I: patients with haemorrhagic bAVM of all ages; scenario II: patients with haemorrhagic bAVM of all ages, with at least one previous pregnancy; scenario III: patients with haemorrhagic bAVM who are of reproductive age (15–45 years); scenario IV: patients with haemorrhagic bAVM of reproductive age (15–45 years), with at least one previous pregnancy. Next, a comprehensive literature aggregation (up to April 2022) was performed for evidence synthesis.

Results Among the 311 female patients with haemorrhagic bAVM, a significant haemorrhage risk during pregnancy and puerperium was found in Scenarios I (relative risk [RR], 2.08; 95% CI, 1.28 to 3.39), II (RR, 3.21; 95% CI, 1.95 to 5.31) and IV (RR, 2.92; 95% CI, 1.73 to 4.93); however, a suggestive risk was found in scenario III (RR, 1.62; 95% CI, 0.99 to 2.67). Evidence synthesis revealed a consistent haemorrhage risk among patients of all ages (RR, 3.15; 95% CI, 1.93 to 5.15) and those of reproductive age (RR, 1.29; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.86).

Conclusion Compared with most previous studies, a higher but relatively moderate risk for bAVM-related haemorrhage was identified during pregnancy and puerperium. Individualised prevention and treatment strategies should be preferred when neurosurgeons make clinical decisions.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ It has been reported that pregnancy and puerperium are associated with brain arteriovenous malformation (bAVM)-related haemorrhage; however, the results of existing studies are controversial, some even contradictory.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ Different analysis strategies under four scenarios via the case–crossover design and further evidence synthesis suggested an increased but relatively moderate risk for bAVM-related haemorrhage during pregnancy and puerperium when compared with the results in most previous studies.

⇒ Previously published studies included different groups of patients and incorporated distinct study designs, resulting in heterogeneity and inconsistency in their results.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ It is essential to adopt an appropriate study design and evidence synthesis with respect to studies involving trigger factors of acute cerebrovascular events.

⇒ This study encourages individualised prevention and treatment strategies when making clinical decisions for female patients of reproductive age.

INTRODUCTION

Brain arteriovenous malformation (bAVM) is a relatively rare disease with an estimated incidence of approximately 1 per 1 00 000 patient-years. bAVM rupture leads to intracranial haemorrhage and a series of neurological deficits, with the rates of functional dependence and fatality increasing over time. It has been reported that several genetic, demographic and angiographic factors could potentially increase the haemorrhage risk of bAVM, including prior haemorrhage, deep lesion location, large lesion size and some genetic variations.

Recently, several characteristics and behaviours of patients were identified as trigger factors for intracranial haemorrhage. It was found that various factors could suddenly increase blood pressure and trigger acute vessel rupture, resulting in intracranial haemorrhage, which exhibited as emotional changes, vigorous activity, sexual activity and Valsalva manoeuvres. A case–crossover study (CCOS) design treats every participant as their own control to avoid individual differences, and this approach is widely used to test trigger factors. Since Robinson et al first reported an increased bAVM-related haemorrhage rate in females during pregnancy, pregnancy and...
puerperium have been considered as potential trigger factors. Existing CCOSs have suggested a potential association of pregnancy and puerperium with bAVM-related haemorrhage in female patients. However, consensus could not be achieved. Several studies showed a higher annual bAVM-related haemorrhage rate in pregnant women compared with that in non-pregnant women (OR>4.0), whereas Horton et al, Liu et al and Zhu et al described contrary results; moreover, Liu et al identified a significantly protective factor (OR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.86) in the Chinese population. This inconsistency hinders the ability to make clinical recommendations. Most existing studies were highly heterogenous and included relatively small sample sizes because of the low incidence of the disease. Moreover, the inclusion criteria and the risk period (pregnancy and puerperium) and control period (non-pregnancy) varied across different studies. Thus, it remains unclear whether pregnancy and puerperium are trigger factors for bAVM-related haemorrhage.

To further assess the exposure effect of pregnancy and puerperium on bAVM-related haemorrhage, four CCOS scenarios in different populations were examined in this study. Next, comprehensive literature aggregation and evidence synthesis were performed by systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate the association between pregnancy and puerperium and bAVM-related haemorrhage, with an aim to provide a recommendation for clinical decisions, as well as the studies on trigger factors of acute cerebrovascular events in CCOS design.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study population**

We performed a retrospective review of the medical records in Xiangya Hospital, Central South University from January 2012 to December 2021. All female bAVM patients with at least one haemorrhage presentation and complete clinical data were included. bAVM-related haemorrhage was diagnosed via angiography (CT angiography or digital subtraction angiography) or a histopathological examination. The results were required to be independently interpreted by at least a neurosurgeon, radiologist or pathologist. Patients with other vascular diseases, such as dural arteriovenous fistulae, spinal AVM, moyamoya disease or hereditary diseases (hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia, Marfan’s syndrome), were excluded. Written informed consent was obtained when they were admitted, and individual demographic, clinical and obstetric characteristics were collected at the time of treatment (for microsurgery or embolism) or at the last follow-up (for patients undergoing radiosurgery or with non-invasive treatment). Two independent authors collected medical records and angiographic imaging. Disagreements were resolved by consensus or through interpretation by the third author.

**Study design**

Considering the discrepancy among the study populations and results in the previous studies, we adopted a CCOS design in our current study using four different populations to test the robustness of the results in different populations. The analysis focused on female haemorrhagic bAVM patients of all ages, regardless of whether they had experienced gestation (scenario I) and patients with at least one gestation within the same age group (scenario II). Next, female haemorrhagic bAVM patients of reproductive age (15–45 years) (scenario III) and those with at least one gestation in the same age group (scenario IV) (figure 1) were examined. The incidence density of haemorrhage in the risk and control periods was calculated for these populations.

![Figure 1](example.com) Examples of the designs of case–crossover study including four scenarios. The age indicated the time when the patients received treatment (for microsurgery or embolism) or last follow-up (for radiosurgery or with non-invasive treatment), and haemorrhage could present at any time before this age. bAVM, brain arteriovenous malformation.
The risk period contained periods of pregnancy (40-week gestation and 6-week puerperium) and abortion (6-week abortion and 6-week postabortion interval). The control period was calculated as follows in the four scenarios: For participants of all ages (scenarios I and II), the control period was defined as the age at the time of treatment or last follow-up after subtracting the risk period. For participants of reproductive age (scenarios III and IV), the control period was defined as the age at the time of treatment or last follow-up, subtracting both the risk period and 15 years. Regarding the different clinical definitions of the periods, two recognized pregnant periods (40-week gestation and 6-week or 12-week puerperium) and two recognized abortion periods (6-week or 12-week abortion and 6-week postabortion interval) were used for a sensitivity analysis to explore whether there was any difference among the results in the distinct definitions and evaluate the robustness of the results in the current study.

**Comprehensive literature aggregation**
A comprehensive literature aggregation was conducted for potentially related articles up to April 2022. The detailed search strategy and inclusion/exclusion criteria are presented in the supplemental materials (online supplemental materials and methods).

**Statistical analysis and evidence synthesis**
Statistical analysis was conducted using STATA V.14.0 (Stata Corporation, Texas, USA). The calculated incidence densities of haemorrhage in the risk and control periods were for the Poisson rate ratio test to compare the difference. In comprehensive evidence synthesis, the number of haemorrhages per person-year in the risk and control periods was compared in random-effect models (Mantel-Haenszel heterogeneity) to obtain relative risks (RRs) and 95% CIs. Cochran’s Q test and Higgins’ I² statistic were used to assess the heterogeneity among studies. Z test was used to determine the significance of pooled RRs. We set p<0.05 as statistical significance. Sensitivity analysis was used to obtain pooled RRs of the remaining studies after excluding studies one by one (detailed methods are described in our previous study).

Attributable risk percent (ARP) investigated the extent to which pregnancy and puerperium could be attributed to haemorrhage in bAVM:

\[
ARP = \frac{RR-1}{RR} \times 100\%.
\]

**Data availability statement**
Data are available on reasonable request.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of patients**
After retrieving the medical records, 1326 individuals with bAVM were identified, of whom 452 were women with complete clinical data. We included 311 women with a history of bAVM-related haemorrhage (scenario I), of whom 161 had at least one incidence of gestation (scenario II). There were 186 women aged 15–45 years (scenario III), among whom 106 had at least one incidence of gestation (scenario IV). All cases were sporadic and without bAVM family history. A detailed flow chart is depicted in figure 2; the characteristics of participants are listed in table 1 and online supplemental table 1.

**Association of pregnancy and puerperium with bAVM-related haemorrhage risk**
Scenario I, which focused on female patients of all ages, showed a higher haemorrhage risk during pregnancy and puerperium (RR, 2.08; 95% CI 1.28 to 3.39). Similar results were observed among female patients of all ages who had at least one gestation in scenario II (RR, 3.21; 95% CI, 1.95 to 5.31) and among patients of reproductive age with at least one gestation in scenario IV (RR, 2.72; 95% CI,
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1.63 to 4.47). We found a suggestive risk for scenario III, which included women of reproductive age (RR, 1.62; 95% CI, 0.99 to 2.67) (table 2). Sensitivity analysis in each scenario, conducted according to different definitions of the pregnancy and abortion periods, yielded consistent and robust results (online supplemental table 2).

**Comprehensive aggregation and evidence synthesis**

Ten related eligible studies7 9–12 14–18 were identified through comprehensive literature retrieval (online supplemental figure 1). This included nine studies with CCOS and one study including both a CCOS and self-control case series (details in table 3).

Substantial heterogeneity (I²=83.5%; Cochran Q test p value<0.001) was identified when combining the existing studies and the current study focusing on either female haemorrhagic bAVMs of all ages or reproductive age (online supplemental figure 2A,B).

Given that the study design might be the source of heterogeneity, comprehensive evidence synthesis was conducted by combining studies with similar populations and definitions of risk and control periods (table 4). Four studies7 9 10 16 included female bAVM cases in patients of all ages. Since their study designs were similar to that of the scenario I of our study, they were combined and analysed. However, substantial heterogeneity remained (I², 57.7%; p0.050) (online supplemental figure 2C). Further subgroup analysis was performed according to the nationalities included in the studies, as discrepancies were identified across different populations. Studies in American populations showed a much stronger association of pregnancy and puerperium with bAVM-related haemorrhage risk than those in Asian (Chinese or Japanese) populations (RR, 4.99; 95% CI, 2.97 to 8.36; ARP, 79.94%; vs RR, 2.14; 95% CI, 1.47 to 3.10; ARP, 53.16%) (figure 3A). Five studies7 10 11 15 17 18 only recruited females of reproductive age with bAVM. Despite their different definitions of reproductive age, their study designs were close to that of scenario III of our study, hence, results from their studies were combined. Similar to the former analysis, the combined results were found to be more heterogeneous (I², 88.6%; p0.0001) (online supplemental figure 2D). Sensitivity analysis showed that the Dutch population study by van Beijnum et al18 might be the source of heterogeneity (online supplemental figure 3). After eliminating this study, the heterogeneity was reduced (I², 33.3%; p0.200) and a potential increased risk was illustrated (RR, 1.29; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.86; ARP, 22.24%) (figure 3B).

**DISCUSSION**

Using a CCOS design, this study explored the association between pregnancy and puerperium and the risk of bAVM-related haemorrhage in the Chinese population. It then comprehensively synthesised the currently available evidence using a systematic review and meta-analysis. The original study yielded a

---

**Table 1** Haemorrhagic and pregnancy characteristics of patients with brain arteriovenous malformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Haemorrhage in risk period (n=17)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of all ages (scenario I, n=311)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of all ages with at least one previous pregnancy (scenario II, n=161)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of reproductive age (scenario III, n=186)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of reproductive age with at least one previous pregnancy (scenario IV, n=106)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>27.00 (5.90)</td>
<td>28.15 (16.11)</td>
<td>40.37 (12.57)</td>
<td>27.23 (8.57)</td>
<td>32.88 (6.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current bAVM rupture, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td>277 (89.7)</td>
<td>143 (88.8)</td>
<td>165 (89.9)</td>
<td>94 (88.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count of bAVM rupture, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td>302 (97.1)</td>
<td>156 (96.9)</td>
<td>179 (96.3)</td>
<td>102 (96.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7 (2.2)</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
<td>6 (3.2)</td>
<td>3 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pregnancy count at obliteration or the last follow-up, n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Haemorrhage in risk period (n=17)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of all ages (scenario I, n=311)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of all ages with at least one previous pregnancy (scenario II, n=161)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of reproductive age (scenario III, n=186)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of reproductive age with at least one previous pregnancy (scenario IV, n=106)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (58.8)</td>
<td>163 (52.4)</td>
<td>13 (8.1)</td>
<td>93 (50.0)</td>
<td>13 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 (35.3)</td>
<td>84 (27.0)</td>
<td>84 (52.2)</td>
<td>67 (36.0)</td>
<td>67 (36.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>47 (16.0)</td>
<td>47 (30.5)</td>
<td>22 (11.8)</td>
<td>22 (20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>14 (4.5)</td>
<td>14 (8.7)</td>
<td>4 (2.1)</td>
<td>4 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abortion count at obliteration or the last follow-up, n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Haemorrhage in risk period (n=17)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of all ages (scenario I, n=311)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of all ages with at least one previous pregnancy (scenario II, n=161)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of reproductive age (scenario III, n=186)</th>
<th>Haemorrhagic patients of reproductive age with at least one previous pregnancy (scenario IV, n=106)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (58.8)</td>
<td>252 (81.0)</td>
<td>102 (63.4)</td>
<td>151 (81.2)</td>
<td>71 (67.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 (35.3)</td>
<td>53 (17.1)</td>
<td>53 (32.9)</td>
<td>31 (16.7)</td>
<td>31 (29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>5 (1.6)</td>
<td>5 (3.1)</td>
<td>3 (1.6)</td>
<td>3 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bAVM, brain arteriovenous malformation.
relatively consistent result in four different scenarios, an increased risk of bAVM-related haemorrhage during pregnancy and puerperium. Through the systematic review and meta-analysis, the source of the considerable heterogeneity between existing studies was identified, and it was illustrated that this discrepancy might stem from the variety in their study designs. The synthesised results of similar designs were generally consistent with those of ours, and suggestive haemorrhage risks were illustrated during pregnancy and puerperium in bAVM patients of all ages or reproductive ages.

CCOS should be the preferred choice to investigate the association between pregnancy and bAVM-related haemorrhage. This design avoids all case-specific fixed confounders such as age, chronic diseases and daily lifestyle, because every case treats itself as its own control and compares the incidence density between the risk and control periods. Among the previously performed ten studies, on this topic, four claimed that they adopted a CCOS design; nevertheless, the designs of the remaining six were all similar to CCOS. A CCOS can be considered as a pair-designed case–control or retrospective cohort study. The validity and reliability of the CCOS results highly depend on the selection of subjects and the definition of the risk and control periods. In these studies, six recruited female patients of reproductive age with bAVM, whereas the other four recruited those of all ages. The definitions of risk and control periods were varied, and the results demonstrated significant heterogeneity (I² >80%; Cochran Q test p value <0.001). Therefore, a hypothesis that the heterogeneity might arise from the diversities of included patients, and the corresponding risk and control periods was proposed. Considering this, our CCOS design was adopted by including four different populations to test the robustness of the results in different populations.

Female haemorrhagic bAVM patients of all ages were recruited for risk estimation in scenario I. Our data showed an increased risk of haemorrhage during pregnancy and puerperium (RR, 2.08; 95% CI, 1.28 to 3.39). After data adjustment and combination, the pooled results were consistent and showed an increased risk during pregnancy and puerperium even when population discrepancy was observed (RR, 3.15; 95% CI, 1.93 to 5.15). The haemorrhage risk was higher among the American populations (RR, 4.99; 95% CI, 2.97 to 8.36) than in the Asian populations (RR, 2.14; 95% CI, 1.47 to 3.10). It should be noted that five previous studies simultaneously included non-haemorrhagic patients without previous pregnancy, which increased the person-years in the control period and potentially exaggerated the haemorrhage risk during pregnancy and puerperium. These patients should not have been included because the assessed event of bAVM-related haemorrhage did not occur and each participant failed to be treated as their own control. In contrast, scenario II could be
Table 3  Characteristics of included studies focusing on the haemorrhage of brain arteriovenous malformation during pregnancy and puerperium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Medical centre (study period)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Included patients, n</th>
<th>Definition of risk period</th>
<th>Risk period</th>
<th>Person-years</th>
<th>Incidence density (person-years)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>Person-years</th>
<th>Incidence density (person-years)</th>
<th>Statistical analysis</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horton et al[11]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Massachusetts General Hospital (1977–1986)</td>
<td>CCOS</td>
<td>Female bAVMs receiving proton beam therapy (n=45)†</td>
<td>40-week gestation and 12-week postpartum (0.3 years according to the average 12-week abortion and 6-week postabortion period)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>0.035±0.005 (0.024–0.045)</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>13 766</td>
<td>0.031±0.002 (0.028–0.034)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forster et al[16]</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Leksell Gamma Unit (1985–1991)</td>
<td>CCOS</td>
<td>Female bAVMs from 15 to 45 years receiving treatment of SRS (n=191)†</td>
<td>40-week gestation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>34 02</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujita et al[16]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>University of Tsukuba (1979–1995)</td>
<td>CCOS</td>
<td>Female bAVMs (n=42)†</td>
<td>40-week gestation and 12-week postpartum (0.3 years)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.065±0.036 (0.020–0.180)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34 11</td>
<td>0.015±0.007 (0.004–0.031)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley and Rose[7]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Brigham and Women's Hospital (2002–2010)</td>
<td>CCOS</td>
<td>Female bAVMs (n=58)†</td>
<td>39-week gestation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24 61</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.91 (2.64 to 23.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al[12]</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>Beijing Tiantan Hospital (1990–2010)</td>
<td>CCOS</td>
<td>Female haemorrhagic bAVMs from 18 to 40 years (n=39)†</td>
<td>Gestation and 6-week postpartum (10 months)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>10 627</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>Mantel-Haenszel estimator</td>
<td>0.71 (0.61 to 0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonetti et al[17]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh (1987–2012)</td>
<td>CCOS</td>
<td>Female bAVMs from 15 to 40 years receiving treatment of radiosurgery (n=252)†</td>
<td>40-week gestation and 12-week postpartum interval</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>81 00</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Beijnum et al[18]</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>VUMC Amsterdam, AMC Amsterdam, Leiden UMC, UMC Utrecht (1990–2006)</td>
<td>CCOS and SCCSS</td>
<td>Female bAVMs from 16 to 41 years (n=95)†</td>
<td>39-week gestation</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>12 31</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.8 (3.6 to 13.0) for CCOS, 7.1 (3.4 to 13.0) for SCCSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAIMMs (1999–2003, 2006–2010)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female bAVMs from 16 to 41 years (n=44)†</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59 77</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.3 (0.39 to 4.1) for CCOS, 1.7 (0.0 to 4.4) for SCCSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porras et al[9]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>White (61.1%), black (21.9%), Hispanic (3.3%), Asian (2.5%), other (11.5%)</td>
<td>Johns Hopkins Hospital (1990–2015)</td>
<td>OCOS</td>
<td>Female bAVMs with complete baseline data (n=272)†</td>
<td>40-week gestation and 6-week postpartum (0.3 years according to the average 12-week abortion and 6-week postabortion period)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.057 for all patients; 0.070 for patients from 15 to 50 years</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>10 668</td>
<td>0.013 for both all patients and those from 15 to 50 years</td>
<td>Poison regression</td>
<td>4.43 (1.98 to 8.69) for all patients; 5.61 (2.48 to 11.18) for patients from 15 to 50 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3  Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Medical centre (study period)</th>
<th>Included patients, n</th>
<th>Definition of risk period</th>
<th>Risk period</th>
<th>Control period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al⁷</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>Changhui Hospital (2006–2017)</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>40-week gestation and 6-week postpartum</td>
<td>8 148 0.054</td>
<td>214 7336 0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al⁸</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>SID for California (2005–2011), Florida (2006–2014), New York (2005–2014)</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>The 52 weeks before pregnancy</td>
<td>36 36 0.064 (0.043–0.084)</td>
<td>11 11 0.019 (0.008–0.031)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Included patients regardless of haemorrhage presentation.
† Included patients with haemorrhage presentation.

bAVMs, brain arteriovenous malformation; CCOS, case–crossover study; NA, not available; RR, relative rate; SAVMs, Scottish Audit of Intracranial Vascular Malformations study; SCCSS, self-controlled case series study; SID, Healthcare Cost and Utilisation Project State Inpatient Databases; SRS, stereotactic radiosurgery.
Table 4  Pooled ORs (95% CIs) in comprehensive evidence synthesis for the association between pregnancy/puerperium and brain arteriovenous malformation haemorrhage after adjustment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouping criterion</th>
<th>Included study</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Risk period</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Attributable risk per cent, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female bAVM Patients Included</td>
<td>Fujita et al&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>4.24 (1.42 to 12.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley and Rose&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>6.91 (2.96 to 16.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Porras et al&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>4.11 (2.14 to 7.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zhu et al&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>20.85</td>
<td>1.82 (0.91 to 3.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Our study</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>26.80</td>
<td>2.02 (1.25 to 3.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (I², 57.7%; p&lt;sub&gt;Q&lt;/sub&gt;, 0.050; p&lt;sub&gt;Z&lt;/sub&gt;, &lt;0.001)</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3.15 (1.93 to 5.15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Female bAVM Patients from 15 to 45 years Included | Forster et al<sup>15</sup> | 191 | 15 | 187 | 28.80 | 1.27 (0.77 to 2.11) | – |
| | Liu et al<sup>12</sup> | 393 | 12 | 400 | 25.44 | 0.81 (0.46 to 1.42) | – |
| | Tonetti et al<sup>17</sup> | 253 | 2 | 18 | 6.33 | 4.13 (1.02 to 16.72) | – |
| | van Beijnum et al<sup>18</sup> Scotland | 44 | 3 | 41 | 9.10 | 1.12 (0.36 to 3.49) | – |
| | Our study | 186 | 17 | 126 | 30.25 | 1.57 (0.97 to 2.55) | – |
| | Total (I², 33.3%; p<sub>Q</sub>, 0.200; p<sub>Z</sub>, 0.185) | 1067 | – | – | 100.00 | 1.29 (0.89 to 1.86) | 22.24 |

p<sup>2</sup> value for Q test; p<sup>Z</sup> value for Z test; the RR and 95% CI were calculated in random effects model.
RR, relative risks.
females of this age share similar characteristics and could get pregnant; hence, theoretically, the differences would not affect the results as much. Remarkably, Tonetti et al. recruited a reproductive bAVM cohort that underwent radiosurgery and required follow-up. The risk was estimated by calculating the haemorrhage incidence densities in pregnant and non-pregnant periods during the follow-up rather than according to reproductive age.

Similarly, 106 reproductive-aged haemorrhagic bAVM females with at least one previous pregnancy were included for further analysis in scenario IV. The significantly increased haemorrhage risk (RR, 2.923; 95% CI, 1.734 to 4.928) was also higher compared with that in scenarios III, with the same reason for the difference between scenarios I and II, while haemorrhagic bAVM patients of reproductive age simultaneously with at least one previous pregnancy performed better in establishing a self-control model and matching CCOS design. However, no study with a design similar to that of scenario IV has been published; the sample size should be expanded in the future.

Lee et al. collected a new population of 568 female bAVM patients, who had at least one pregnancy, from three medical centres in the USA. Incidence densities of intracranial haemorrhage were compared between the risk period of the first 52 weeks of pregnancy and delivery and the control period of 52 weeks before the first pregnancy. They reported a 6.4% incidence density of haemorrhage during the risk period, which was relatively higher than the 1.9% reported 1 year prior to pregnancy, which resulted in increased haemorrhage risk (RR, 3.27; 95% CI, 1.67 to 6.43). This study design was similar to that of a retrospective cohort study, and the authors described it as a cohort-crossover study. Because the limited control period was close to the risk period, the physical condition and behaviour of the patients would be relatively similar; therefore, this design was also preferable as it reduced the confounders from other trigger factors. However, this study defined bAVMs as ‘747.81 Anomalies of Cerebrovascular System’ using the International Classification of Diseases-9 diagnosis code, highlighting the information bias by recruiting patients with other cerebrovascular abnormalities. It was not certain whether the included patients had been treated before, as a treatment of microsurgery or embolism would substantially modify the haemorrhage risk of bAVM. Additionally, only patients with a pregnancy history were recruited, but usually those who suffered a bAVM-related haemorrhage in the control period would not choose to get pregnant soon within 1 year, which resulted in a selection bias. The incidence density of haemorrhage in the control period was underestimated and a more significant RR was achieved to magnify the haemorrhage risk during pregnancy and puerperium.

This study identified a moderately higher haemorrhage risk of bAVM females during pregnancy and
puerperium, and explained potential reasons behind the published results appearing controversial in methodological levels. To explain the increased haemorrhage risks, it is noted that a large turbulence of blood volume and blood pressure in different hormonal statuses results in changes in haemodynamics, coagulation and vascular physiology. Previous studies also revealed that hypertensive disorders of pregnancy disturbed functions of systemic endothelial cells and the blood–brain barrier; finally, the failure of cerebral autoregulation leads to the rupture of bAVM and haemorrhagic stroke. Several limitations should be mentioned. First, though a pooled analysis was conducted to test the robustness of the association, it could not consider all the confounding factors, which was a methodological limit of meta-analysis, and a study conducted among multiple medical centres with a larger sample size is required in the future. Sufficient shared original data are important for such a low-incidence disease to obtain conclusive evidence. Further, the studies obtained in the systematic review process were not sufficient, which resulted in challenges in conducting subgroup analyses, sensitivity analyses and assessment of publication bias, as well as assessing their effect on the combined results. In this study, individual-specific characteristics were not required for comparison, as the estimation was made within each patient case. Many transient exposures and trigger factors that may increase the haemorrhage risk of bAVM were not assessed in this study because of a lack of clinical data. Thus, medical workers should pay more attention to collecting related information, so that future studies can perform multiple comparisons to explore more trigger factors and reduce confounders. Finally, the study design was based on an ideal assumption that the incidence densities of bAVM-related haemorrhage were constant during the defined intervals. However, this is likely unrealistic in practical research.

Conclusion
This study suggested an increased bAVM-related haemorrhage risk during pregnancy and puerperium through different analysis strategies and evidence synthesis. Still, this risk was relatively moderate compared with previous studies’ results. In clinical decision-making, individualised prevention and treatment strategies are preferred. Patients contemplating pregnancy should exercise caution according to their intentions, individual disease characteristics and the experience of their clinicians.
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