Implementation of regional Acute Stroke Care Map increases thrombolysis rates for acute ischaemic stroke in Chinese urban area in only 3 months
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ABSTRACT

Background The rate of intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischaemic stroke remains low in China. We investigated whether the implementation of a citywide Acute Stroke Care Map (ASCaM) is associated with an improvement of acute stroke care quality in a Chinese urban area.

Methods The ASCaM comprises 10 improvement strategies and has been implemented through a network consisting of 20 tertiary hospitals. We identified 7827 patients with ischaemic stroke admitted from April to October 2017, and 506 patients underwent thrombolysis were finally included for analysis.

Results Compared with ‘pre-ASCaM period’, we observed an increased rate of administration of tissue plasminogen activator within 4.5 hours (65.4% vs 54.5%; adjusted OR, 1.724; 95% CI 1.21 to 2.45; p=0.003) during ‘ASCaM period’. In multivariate analysis models, ‘ASCaM period’ was associated with a significant reduction in onset-to-door time (114.1±55.7 vs 135.7±58.4 min, p=0.0002) and onset-to-needle time (ONT) (169.2±58.1 vs 195.6±59.3 min, p<0.0001). Yet no change was found in door-to-needle time. Clinical outcomes such as symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage, favourable functional outcome (modified Rankin Scale ≤2) and in-hospital mortality remained unchanged.

Conclusion The implementation of ASCaM was significantly associated with increased rates of intravenous thrombolysis and shorter ONT. The ASCaM may, in proof-of-principle, serve as a model to reduce treatment delay and increase thrombolysis rates in Chinese urban areas and possibly other highly populated Asian regions.

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is the leading cause of mortality and disability in China. Despite being the most effective medical therapy to improve outcomes in acute ischaemic stroke (AIS), the use of thrombolytic therapy of intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) was merely 1.6% in China. Moreover, the rate of intravenous tPA is also low in most Asian countries.

Implementation of various bundles of improvement strategies has been proven to be effective in reducing pretreatment time, increasing rates of thrombolysis and endovascular thrombectomy and improving clinical outcomes for patients with AIS. Meanwhile, substantial progress in stroke care has been made in China during the past decade by implementing multiple levels of initiatives, interventions and strategies. However, the effectiveness of implementing customised improvement strategies has largely remained to be answered in highly populated Chinese stroke care systems.

Recently, with the support of Stroke Prevention Project Committee of Chinese National Health Commission (SPPCCNC), a number of cities, such as Shenzhen, Yantai, Hangzhou, Suzhou, Qingdao and Shenyang, have implemented their Acute Stroke Care Maps (ASCaMs). The map, which integrates prehospital emergency medical services (EMS) and in-hospital strategies, labels eligible hospitals and aims primarily to provide quality thrombolytic and endovascular service in a two-tier stroke care system (primary and advanced stroke centres) for Chinese patients with AIS.

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that citywide ASCaM in Shenyang is effective in increasing thrombolysis rates with improved clinical outcomes in these patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and management

The present study is a prospective, multicentre, hospital-based registry of consecutive ischaemic stroke patients treated with intravenous tPA, investigating the effectiveness of the implementation of ASCaM in patients with AIS in Shenyang. Patients were eligible for enrolment if they were diagnosed with AIS...
on admission and treated with intravenous tPA within 4.5 hours after onset (figure 1). Information on patient characteristics, including age, sex, lifestyle factors, stroke classification, medical history, medication, education and points of National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), use of tPA, complications and pre-tPA time consumption was simultaneously collected when patients were admitted to the hospitals. Clinical outcomes and prognosis such as occurrence of symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage (sICH), modified Rankin Scale (mRS) and death were all identified and assessed by stroke neurologists. Accuracy and completeness of variables were monitored and checked by dedicated centre coordinators. A full list of hospital-based registries is displayed in online supplemental materials.

Hospitals were eligible if they first had established stroke units or organised stroke care teams and then obtained more than 80 points in a self-rated questionnaire survey assessing stroke infrastructure, facilities and servicing abilities of the centre for treating acute stroke patients (online supplemental table 1). Predominantly based on proved hospital-level characteristics associated with good clinical practice and outcomes in previous studies, we designed and developed the questionnaire and inspection procedures. Eventually after the survey and inspection from the expert review panel, 20 tertiary hospitals were included in Shenyang ASCaM, representing the capacity of the city to deliver quality thrombolytic service for AIS.

We designed the study in a before-and-after manner (approximately 3 months each) to facilitate the implementation of improvement strategies for AIS care into clinical practice in participating sites in Shenyang. The before-and-after study design means that all hospitals were observed under pre-existing (pre-ASCaM) conditions according to the usual management of patients with AIS and then received intervention (ASCaM) with 10 predefined strategies (table 1) by obtaining implementation training from stroke experts. To primarily prove the improving efficacy of ASCaM, the duration for the pre-ASCaM period and ASCaM period were each defined at approximately 3 months. The outcomes were analysed by two independent statisticians blinded to intervention (Liqiang Zheng and Jianfeng Luo). Although the training of whole bundle of strategies were conducted during pre-ASCaM period, we assigned every site principle investigators to ensure the strategies were not applied immediately and treatment decisions were not influenced until the actual implementation of the ASCaM. Strategies, protocols and behaviours both at institution-level and doctor-level were requested to be remained unchanged throughout the observed pre-ASCaM period.

On 15 July 2017, the Greater Shenyang ASCaM was officially implemented in these 20 hospitals (figure 2). The publicity of Shenyang ASCaM was conducted by local mainstream mass media to convey the information of the distribution of ASCaM hospitals. The implementation of citywide ASCaMs is a national scheme, and there have been 30 cities with ASCaM in place. Shenyang is the sixth city doing so.

**Definitions of outcomes**

The primary outcome was the difference of intravenous tPA use in patients within 4.5 hours after onset between the pre-ASCaM (8 April 2017 to 15 July 2017) and the ASCaM period (16 July 2017 to 13 October 2017). Secondary outcomes included Onset-to-Door Time (ODT), door-to-needle time (DNT), onset-to-needle time (ONT), sICH, favourable outcome (mRS ≤ 2) and in-hospital mortality between these two periods.

In this study, we defined sICH as per European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study II criteria, that is, any intracranial haemorrhage associated with at least a 4-point
increase of NIHSS. We defined a favourable outcome as a mRS ≤2 points at discharge.

The proportion of intravenous tPA within 4.5 hours after onset was calculated by the number of patients actually received intravenous tPA within 4.5 hours, divided by the number of patients present within 4.5 hours after onset (figure 1).

**Statistical analyses**

The quantitative variables are expressed as the mean and SD, and the categorical variables are expressed as frequencies (%). Student's t-test, Pearson's χ² test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare the differences between the pre-ASCaM (control) and ASCaM periods (intervention). Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models or linear regression models were used to analyse the impact of ASCaM on proportion of tPA use, ODT, DNT, ONT and clinical outcomes. For the adjusted model, we adjusted patient-level factors, including age, sex, medical history (including atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter, prosthetic heart valve, previous stroke or transient ischaemic attack, coronary artery disease/prior myocardial infarction, carotid stenosis, peripheral vascular disease, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, seizure and current smoking), stroke severity (NIHSS score). All covariates adjusted in multivariable model were prespecified and prospectively collected in individual centres. To correct the hospital-level heterogeneity, we considered the contribution of thrombolytic cases from every individual hospitals as a confounder and conducted Mantel-Haenszel Common OR Estimate analysis for the primary outcome of thrombolytic rates within 4.5 hours. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted with SAS software (V.9.3).

**RESULTS**

During the pre-ASCaM period (8 April 2017 to 15 July 2017), there were 3574 patients with AIS, 347 of whom within 4.5 hours after onset (9.7%) were enrolled, while in the ASCaM era (16 July 2017 to 13 October 2017), there were 4253 patients with AIS, 485 of whom within 4.5 hours after onset (11.4%) were enrolled. There were 189 patients who received intravenous tPA during the pre-ASCaM period and 317 patients who received intravenous tPA during the ASCaM period (figure 1). The demographics and clinical characteristics of the tPA-treated populations are shown in table 2. Of all the analysed tPA-treated patients, the mean age was 64 and 64.4, respectively, sex was equally distributed, and the mean NIHSS score at admission did not show a difference between the pre-periods and ASCaM periods. There were no significant differences in patient baseline characteristics between pre-cohorts and ASCaM cohorts except for the population of age >80 years old, carotid stenosis and dyslipidaemia. For the baseline comparison with regard to age and admission NIHSS, there were incomplete data collection for 2 and 8 patients, respectively.

For the primary outcome, we detected an increased rate of tPA administration within 4.5 hours (54.5% vs 65.4%; OR, 1.724; 95% CI 1.21 to 2.45; p=0.003) in ASCaM period. In the multivariate analysis model of examining ODT, DNT, ONT and favourable outcome (mRS ≤2), data were adjusted for variables of statistical significance in...
univariate comparisons (p<0.1 for selection, see table 2), and patients-level factors previously reported to be associated with these parameters and used in Get With The Guidelines-Stroke analyses6 7 9 (table 3).

Compared with the mean pre-ASCaM ODT of 135.7 min, the implementation of ASCaM was associated with a significantly decreased ODT of 114.1 min (β, −20.59164; p, 0.0002). Likewise, the ONT showed a significant reduction before and after the implementation of ASCaM (β, −24.89451; p<0.0001). Unfortunately, the mean DNT, with 57.1 min and 56.1 min, respectively, remained identical during the pre-periods and ASCaM periods (β, −0.24447; p, 0.9260).

With respect to clinical outcomes, the proportions of sICH occurred before and after the ASCaM were identical to both 3.2% (OR, 1.003; 95% CI 0.359 to 2.806; p, 0.9950). The favourable outcome during the ASCaM period slightly increased to 85.2% from 79.9% of the pre-ASCaM period but failed to reach statistical significance in the multiple logistic regression model (OR, 0.761; 95% CI 0.444 to 1.306; p, 0.3222). Similarly, although the in-hospital mortality decreased from 3.2% to 2.5% after the implementation of the ASCaM, no difference was revealed (OR, 1.262; 95% CI 0.431 to 3.696; p, 0.6708).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found that in a Chinese urban city with an 8.1 million population, the rate of intravenous tPA within 4.5 hours after onset was substantially increased by 10.9% in only 3 months through the implementation of a regional map integrating a bundle of strategies among 20 hospitals. The networking ASCaM meanwhile, serving as a guide for both patients to seek medical service and EMS to dispatch, also reduced the ONT at the same period of time.

Applying evidence-based strategies in multiple sites means system reconfiguration and will be subject to challenges. There have been a number of studies exploring effective interventions in attempts to improve the AIS care quality on hospital-levels, region-levels and nation-levels,5 8–22 Specifically, to increase the rate of
intravenous thrombolysis, the GWTG project in the USA is a successful example of a stroke quality improvement programme on the national level. The southeast Texas experience focused on periodically informing chief executive officers regarding the rates of tPA administration, and the intervention resulted in an increase of 21.1% of thrombolysis rate among participating hospitals.21 In Kaiser Permanente stroke centres in North California, based on a modified Helsinki model, they additionally managed every patient with AIS, either EMS-delivered or self-walked in, with a dedicated telestroke neurologist. As a result, cases of tPA administration increased from 34 to 62 per month.17 HASTE (Hurry Acute Stroke Treatment and Evaluation) study is a successful example of staggered implementation of improvement strategies in a single centre.23 From June 2012 to June 2015, the DNT was significantly reduced from 53 to 35 min via measures such as moving patients directly with EMS stretcher, registering an incoming severe stroke patient as unknown and administering tPA in CT (computer tomography) suite. The PRACTISE (PRomoting ACute Thrombolysis in Ischemic StrokE) study using the breakthrough approach to implement improvement strategies also demonstrated a 1.5-fold higher rate of thrombolysis after intervention.20

The difference between these studies and ours mainly lies in that we pre-evaluated the eligibility of participating hospitals with a self-rated questionnaire survey containing evidence-based hospital-level factors, and principle investigators (stroke champions) and administrators were educated and trained before the actual implementation of the 10 predefined strategies. For instance, in our study, individual hospitals were stratified prior to the inclusion of the ASCaM in terms of the admission of patients with AIS and thrombolysis cases per year. We considered admission of patients with AIS less than 100 per year and accumulated tPA cases less than 10 or annual tPA cases less than 5 implies some obstacles to conducting thrombolysis (online supplemental table 1), although these may also be properties indicating substantial room for improvement. These hospitals scored less on the self-rated questionnaire as a result.

Compared with the gradual and consistent reduction of DNT for AIS during the past two decades, prehospital time, which constitutes the largest component of the overall pretreatment time course, has largely remained unchanged.24 In return, the efforts to increase thrombolysis rates within the therapeutic window have been counteracted and diminished.25 Lachkhem et al defined

| Table 2 | Demographic and baseline characteristics of acute ischaemic stroke patients treated with tPA within 4.5 hours after onset |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Pre-ASCaM (N=189) | ASCaM (N=317) | P value |
| Age (year) | | | |
| N (n missed) | 189 (0) | 315 (2) |
| Mean±SD | 64.0±9.9 | 64.4±10.2 |
| Admission NIHSS | | | |
| N (n missed) | 189 (0) | 309 (8) |
| Mean±SD | 8.2±6.2 | 7.5±5.3 |
| Age >80, n (%) | 15 (7.9%) | 12 (3.8%) |
| Male, n (%) | 131 (69.3%) | 210 (66.5%) |
| Previous stroke/TIA, n (%) | 48 (25.4%) | 77 (24.3%) |
| Carotid stenosis, n (%) | 78 (41.3%) | 82 (25.9%) |
| HTN, n (%) | 111 (58.7%) | 202 (63.7%) |
| DM, n (%) | 53 (28.0%) | 84 (26.5%) |
| Dyslipidaemia, n (%) | 122 (64.6%) | 243 (76.7%) |
| CAD/MI, n (%) | 39 (20.6%) | 64 (20.2%) |
| AF/AFL, n (%) | 21 (11.1%) | 35 (11.0%) |
| CHF, n (%) | 5 (2.6%) | 8 (2.5%) |
| Prosthetic heart valve, n (%) | 0 | 3 (0.9%) |
| Seizure, n (%) | 2 (1.1%) | 0 |
| Peripheral vascular disease, n (%) | 21 (11.1%) | 39 (12.3%) |
| Smoking, n (%) | 89 (47.1%) | 153 (48.4%) |

AF/AFL, atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter; ASCaM, Acute Stroke Care Map; CAD, coronary artery disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; MI, myocardial infarction; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.
Table 3  Comparison of key performance measures and clinical outcomes, Pre-ASCaM versus ASCaM period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-ASCaM (N=189)</th>
<th>ASCaM (N=317)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI) or β</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI) or β</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tPA within 4.5 hours, %</td>
<td>54.5% (189/347)</td>
<td>65.4% (317/485)</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>1.235 (1.08 to 1.41)</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>1.724 (1.21 to 2.45)*</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODT (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>135.7±58.4</td>
<td>114.1±55.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-22.02922</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-20.59164</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNT (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>57.1±30.6</td>
<td>56.1±25.3</td>
<td>0.6838</td>
<td>-0.91595</td>
<td>0.7188</td>
<td>-0.24447</td>
<td>0.9260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONT (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>195.6±99.3</td>
<td>169.2±58.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-26.74615</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>-24.89451</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favourable outcome (mRS ≤2), n (%)</td>
<td>151 (79.9%)</td>
<td>270 (85.2%)</td>
<td>0.1244</td>
<td>0.677 (0.422 to 1.087)</td>
<td>0.1064</td>
<td>0.761 (0.444 to 1.306)</td>
<td>0.3222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sICH, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (3.2%)</td>
<td>10 (3.2%)</td>
<td>0.9901</td>
<td>1.003 (0.359 to 2.806)</td>
<td>0.9950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>6 (3.2%)</td>
<td>8 (2.5%)</td>
<td>0.6659</td>
<td>1.262 (0.431 to 3.696)</td>
<td>0.6708</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For multivariate analysis, data were adjusted for patient-level factors, including age, sex, medical history (including atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter, previous stroke or transient ischemic attack, coronary artery disease or prior myocardial infarction, carotid stenosis, peripheral vascular disease, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, seizure and current smoking); stroke severity (NIHSS score); and factors influencing AIS outcomes. Finally, we conducted Mantel-Haenszel Common OR Estimate analysis to correct hospital-level heterogeneity.

Data were adjusted for the contribution of thrombolytic cases from every individual hospitals.

ASCaM, Acute Stroke Care Map; DNT, door-to-needle time; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; ODT, onset-to-door time; ONT, onset-to-needle time; sICH, symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage.
The arrival mode (EMS transport or private vehicle) can be another factor to confound the comparison of thrombolysis rates and clinical outcomes. However, according to a recent cross-sectional study conducted in Hubei Province in China, only 15.4% of patients with AIS used EMS. Similar observations of not more than 20% stroke patients using EMS were confirmed in three other Chinese cities. Taken together in this study, we assumed that the arrival model made no significant difference in thrombolysis rates and clinical outcomes in Chinese patients with AIS.

Although nine out of ten of our improvement strategies were pertaining to in-hospital improvement, we failed to observe a reduction of DNT. Apart from inadequate observation time at this pilot stage, we presumed not taking measures to monitor hospitals' or doctors' adherence to predefined strategies may be part of the reason we had not achieved improvement in DNT, as well as clinical outcomes. Further study will use hospital-level survey and clinician-level log to monitor their fidelity. Recent studies have reported multifaceted quality improvement intervention increased doctors' adherence to evidence-based therapies for patients with AIS. We are going to use similar all-or-none and composite endpoints to measure hospital-level adherence to our implemented strategies. In addition, we cannot exclude the possibility that some participating sites might have already applied some but not all our predefined strategies before the actual introduction of the training. We speculated this incomplete transition may partially accounted for the insignificant improvement of DNT as well as clinical outcomes.

One limitation of the before-and-after study design is that any observed changes may have been subject to general trends or interventions targeting public health or individual behaviour changing. To our knowledge, we observed no public health campaign targeting the improvement of stroke care introduced in Greater Shenyang area at the same time as the study.

In summary, the implementation of Shenyang ASCaM increased the administration of intravenous tPA in patients with AIS and simultaneously reduced the ONT. We emphasised a hubless system focusing on pre-hospital and in-hospital coordination, as well as the reconfiguration of existing infrastructure and human resources. We also pre-evaluated the quality of individual hospitals for their ability to deliver thrombolysis therapy with a self-rated questionnaire. Moreover, we implement the ASCaMs with the endorsement and supervision of local and national authorities such as SPPCCNHC. Effectiveness of bundle care integrating proven strategies targeting acute stroke care has remained to be elucidated in heavy-burden Chinese medical systems. In addition, unprecedented national construction of ASCaMs has been implemented across China and its effectiveness is yet to be answered. In present study, the Shenyang ASCaM integrating both implementation of local public awareness and quality improving strategies increases the rate of intravenous tPA for patients with AIS within 4.5 hours in only 3 months.

Since Shenyang is a typical Chinese metropolitan city with 8.1 million residents, an ethnically homogenous population and a tertiary medical hierarchy, the model may be complementary to China’s current construction of the national network of stroke centre certification, and rapidly improves stroke care service for the time being in China. On the other hand, since the rate of intravenous tPA remains low in most Asian countries, who shares similar demographic characteristics with Shenyang, and the rate of intravenous tPA has been frequently examined as an effect of improvement in low-income and low-middle-income countries in Asia, the model might also be applied to other highly populated Asian cities. Future studies in these cities will further evaluate the association between ASCaMs and clinical outcomes in a larger population.
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