Perfusion and plaque evaluation to predict recurrent stroke in symptomatic middle cerebral artery stenosis
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ABSTRACT

Background and purpose We investigated the baseline demographics of patients with severe unilateral atherosclerotic stenosis of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) using multimodal MRI and evaluated the haemodynamic impairments and plaque characteristics of patients who had a recurrent stroke.

Materials and methods We retrospectively recruited consecutive patients with severe unilateral atherosclerotic MCA stenosis who underwent arterial spin labelling (ASL) with postlabelling delay (PLD) of 1.5 and 2.5 s, and vessel wall MRI. For each PLD, cerebral blood flow (CBF) maps were generated. Hypoperfusion volume ratio (HVR) from 2 PLD CBF was calculated. An HVR value ≥50% was considered as severe HVR. Plaque areas, plaque burden, plaque length and remodelling index were measured. Plaque enhancement at maximal lumen narrowing site were graded. Baseline clinical and imaging characteristics were compared between patients with (event+) and without (event−) 1 year ischaemic events.

Results Forty-three patients (47.23±12.15 years; 28 men) were enrolled in this study. Seven patients had an HVR ≥50%. During the 1-year follow-up, 7 patients had experienced a recurrent stroke. HVR were significantly higher in the event+ than event− (53.17%±29.82% vs 16.9%±15.57%, p=0.002), whereas no significant difference was detected in plaque areas, plaque burden, remodelling index, plaque length and plaque enhancement grade. The multivariable analysis revealed that a severe HVR was significantly associated with a recurrent stroke (OR odds ratio=12.93, 95% confidence interval 1.57 to 106.24, p=0.017) after adjusted by hypertension and smoking.

Conclusion HVR obtained from two PLD ASL may be a useful imaging predictor of recurrent stroke.

INTRODUCTION

Haemodynamic impairments and plaque rupture are considered as the two most important factors causing ischaemic stroke in patients with intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis (ICAS).1 Doppler microemboli monitoring studies have provided great insight into the mechanisms of ICAS stroke.2 Some studies have suggested that hypoperfusion and embolism from active plaque may act alone or interact and complement each other, causing ischaemic stroke in patients with ICAS.3 Thus, more and more attention has been paid to the brain tissue perfusion and to atherosclerotic plaque activity attributed to the development of advanced neuroimaging. Multimodal MRI including perfusion imaging and plaque imaging has been used to assess brain perfusion deficit and the intracranial artery atherosclerotic plaque vulnerability by high-resolution vessel wall imaging (HRVWI). Especially, arterial spin labelling (ASL) perfusion imaging provides unique advances in non-invasive haemodynamics and collateral circulation status assessments in stroke,4 5 with the application of multiple postlabelling delays (PLD).6–8 Contrast-enhanced HRVWI has been shown to allow for plaque activity evaluation particularly in case of intraplaque inflammation.9 10 The applications render these techniques more competitive ways to explore the underlying mechanism or mechanism evolution of ischaemic stroke and stroke recurrence.

Stroke mechanism closely relates to the prognosis and response to medical therapy or endovascular treatment in symptomatic intracranial stenosis. Establishing the role and weightiness of haemodynamic impairments and plaque vulnerability in specific mechanism of individual patient is potentially important.1 3 11

In the present study, we investigated the baseline demographics of patients with severe unilateral atherosclerotic stenosis of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) using multimodal MRI and evaluated the haemodynamic impairments and plaque characteristics of patients who had a recurrent stroke.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this retrospective study, we recruited consecutive patients with unilateral atherosclerotic stenosis of the MCA in two different hospital centres from August 2013 to March 2015. Written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki was obtained from each patient or their family member.
Including criteria were as follows: (1) symptomatic unilateral severe MCA stenosis (70%–99%) as confirmed by magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) or conventional angiography with ischemic stroke, or transplant ischemic attack within 90 days; (2) age >18 years; (3) presence of two or more atherosclerotic risk factors including hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, obesity and smoking; (4) no contradiction to aggressive medical therapy; (5) not receiving endovascular stenting therapy; (6) having conducted multimodal MRI and (7) completed follow-up.

Excluding criteria were as follows: (1) patients with multiple moderate-to-severe stenoses (>50%) in intracranial arteries and extracranial arteries or with less than two atherosclerotic risk factors; extracranial arterial stenosis was evaluated by routine carotid ultrasound; (2) other vasculopathy such as vasculitis or dissection; (3) evidence of cardioembolism; (4) poor image quality that prevented further analysis.

**IMAGING PROTOCOLS**

Our multimodal MRI protocol included a routine head protocol, three-dimensional (3D) time-of-flight (TOF) MRA, HRVWI and 3D pseudocontinuous ASL (3D pCASL) with two PLDs: 1.5 and 2.5 s. Three-dimensional pCASL was acquired using 3D spiral fast spin echo (FSE) with the following parameters: repetition time (TR)=4590 (PLD=1.5 s), 5285 ms (PLD=2.5 s), labelling duration=1500 ms, echo time (TE)=10.5 ms, field of view (FOV)=24 cm, 512 sampling points on eight spirals, spatial resolution=3.64 mm, slice thickness=4.0 mm, number of slices=36, number of excitation=2, background suppressed. The HRVWI technique consisted of proton density-weighted imaging (PDWI), T1WI and postcontrast T1WI. A sagittal view, perpendicular to the MCA lesion and covering the site of maximal lumen narrowing (MLN), was acquired. Then, T1WI was obtained by quadruple inversion recovery fast spin echo with TR/inversion time/TE=800/650/8.6 ms, FOV=16×16 cm, thickness=2 mm, matrix=256×320, number of excitations=4, echo-train length=12. Postcontrast T1WI was performed after 5 min of gadolinium injection (0.1 mmol/kg gadopentetate dimeglumine, Magnesvist; Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany). The total scan time was about 20 min.

Conventional angiography was performed within 5 days of MR scanning and imaging assessments were required to cover the transition from arterial phases to venous phases in order to be adequate for the reviewer grading collateral circulation.

**Imaging postprocessing and evaluation**

Cerebral blood flow (CBF) map was automatically postprocessed from ASL sequences on an AW workstation 4.3 (GE Healthcare). The CBF maps were then transferred to a personal computer. For each patient, hypoperfusion volume was measured in 1.5 and 2.5 s CBF maps by manual segmenting using a free software; perfusion mismatch analyzer, V.5.0 (http://asistumin.jp/). Through visual inspection, hypoperfusion was defined as a decrease in CBF compared with the contralateral territory. Haemodynamic impairments were indexed using the hypoperfusion volume ratio (HVR), which was calculated as follows: 

\[ \text{HVR} = \frac{\text{hypoperfusion volume at MLN}}{\text{hypoperfusion volume at MLN} + \text{hypoperfusion volume at reference site}} \times 100\% \]

where the hypoperfusion volume at MLN and reference site were calculated from the difference between hypoperfusion regions (contralateral territory) and normal regions (adjacent normal brain parenchyma). Meanwhile, vessel area (VA) and lumen area (LA) were manually traced at MLN site as well as reference site to calculate plaque area (VA) and lumen area (LA). Meanwhile, vessel area (VA) and lumen area (LA) were manually traced at MLN site as well as reference site to calculate plaque area (VA) and lumen area (LA). Plaque burden was quantified as (VA at MLN−VA at reference). Plaque burden was defined as severe when its ratio was >50%.

**Aggressive medical treatment**

Patients received aspirin (100 mg/day) plus clopidogrel (75 mg/day) for 90 days after the qualifying event as well as vascular risk factors modification included keeping systolic blood pressure at <140 mm Hg (or <130 mm Hg in patients with diabetes), low-density lipoprotein at <70 mg/dL or a decrease by 50%, and smoking cessation.

**Follow-up**

The primary outcome was the presence of ischaemic events, including recurrent TIA or ischaemic stroke in the same territory of the qualifying event, which was confirmed by a neurologist and/or by neuroimaging. The secondary outcome was the value obtained on the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) after 3 months, for which a value >2 was thought to be a poor outcome. Patients with diabetes received an additional aspirin 100 mg/day and metformin.
were then followed up for 12 months by telephone investigating for signs of cerebrovascular events, risk factors and current medical treatment.

**Statistical analysis**

Intra-reader and inter-reader variability for the measurements of HVR were determined by intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). In patients who had conducted conventional angiography, a Spearman’s correlation was performed between conventional angiography collateral grades and hypoperfusion volume and HVR. Baseline characteristics, HVR and plaque parameters were compared between patients with and without ischaemic events using Student’s t-tests or non-parametric tests and X² tests or Fisher’s exact tests when appropriate. Then, multivariable logistic regressions were used to detect a potential association between baseline clinical and imaging characteristics and follow-up ischaemic events.

**RESULTS**

Forty-three patients (47.23±12.15 years; 28 men) were enrolled in this study with 41M1 segment lesions and two M2 segment lesions. Baseline characteristics of these patients are listed in table 1.

**Table 1  Baseline characteristics of patients with different outcome**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients with a recurrent stroke</th>
<th>Patients without a recurrent stroke</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No., n</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>44.71±14.56</td>
<td>47.72±11.80</td>
<td>0.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (71.43%)</td>
<td>23 (63.89%)</td>
<td>1.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from symptom onset, days</td>
<td>23.00±13.49</td>
<td>35.86±21.54</td>
<td>0.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke as quantifying event, n</td>
<td>7 (100%)</td>
<td>25 (69.44%)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoperfusion volume 1.5 s, mL</td>
<td>67.67±52.22</td>
<td>48.41±41.42</td>
<td>0.2920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoperfusion volume 2.5 s, mL</td>
<td>47.91±56.76</td>
<td>12.54±23.78</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVR, %</td>
<td>53.17±29.82</td>
<td>16.9±15.57</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVR severity, n (%)</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%–50%</td>
<td>3 (42.86%)</td>
<td>33 (91.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50% (severe HVR)</td>
<td>4 (57.14%)</td>
<td>3 (8.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque enhancement, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>1 (14.29%)</td>
<td>5 (13.39%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>1 (14.29%)</td>
<td>16 (44.44%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>5 (71.42%)</td>
<td>15 (41.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque areas, mm²</td>
<td>6.40±2.85</td>
<td>4.95±3.13</td>
<td>0.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remodelling index</td>
<td>1.01±0.23</td>
<td>0.96±0.30</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque burden, %</td>
<td>57.79±11.83</td>
<td>55.66±14.15</td>
<td>0.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque length, mm</td>
<td>9.43±2.51</td>
<td>8.61±3.23</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (42.86%)</td>
<td>28 (77.78%)</td>
<td>0.081*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>10 (27.78%)</td>
<td>0.172*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (8.33%)</td>
<td>1.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (100%)</td>
<td>29 (80.56%)</td>
<td>0.577*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (71.43%)</td>
<td>12 (33.33%)</td>
<td>0.093*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fisher’s exact test.

HVR, hypoperfusion volume ratio.
HVR ≥50%. Intra-reader variability was with an ICC of 0.851 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.741 to 0.916) and inter-reader variability was with an ICC of 0.755 (95% CI 0.443 to 0.904), which indicated a moderate-to-good test-retest reliability for HVR measurements.

**Correlation between HVR and collateral circulation grades**

Seventeen patients (50.47±7.23 years; 12 men) underwent conventional angiography, including ASITN/SIR grade 3=6 patients, grade 2=8 patients, grade 1=3 patients, grade 0=0 patients. HVR was significantly correlated with collateral grades (r=−0.484, p=0.049), while hypoperfusion volumes at 1.5 and 2.5 s CBF map were not significantly correlated with collateral grades (r=0.394, p=0.118 and r=−0.088, p=0.738).

**Group comparison and multivariable analysis**

In MLN site, plaque areas, plaque burden, remodeling index and plaque enhancement grade are listed in table 1. No intraplaque haemorrhage was detected in all the patients. During the 1-year follow-up, seven patients were confirmed to have a recurrent event in the same territory. Four patients had a recurrent stroke during the first 3 months, including two patients treated with endovascular stent therapy after the stroke occurrence. Two patients had an mRS score ≥2 after 3 months. Results showed that hypoperfusion volumes at 2.5 s PLD, and HVR were significantly higher in patients with ischaemic events than in patients with no ischaemic events. The proportion of severe HVR was significantly higher in patients with ischaemic events than in patients with no ischaemic events (57.14% vs 8.33%, p=0.008). In contrast, no significant difference was detected in plaque areas, plaque burden, remodeling index, plaque length and plaque enhancement grade between the two groups. Some representative cases are shown in figures 1-2. Risk factors between groups also showed no significant differences (table 1).

The multivariable analysis revealed that a severe HVR was significantly associated with a recurrent stroke (Odds ratio =12.93, 95% CI 1.57 to 106.24, p=0.017) after adjusted by hypertension and smoking.

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating haemodynamic impairments and plaque features in stroke recurrence for ICAS using multimodal MRI, and the first study using ASL to evaluate the prognosis of perfusion deficits. The strength of this study is that it demonstrates the feasibility of using two PLD ASL to evaluate collateral circulation and the prognostic value of HVR in patients with severe stenosis of the MCA. We also focus on the relationship between ischaemic tissue and the culprit plaque characteristics which has not been found to be relevant to future ischaemic events. Although the cohort, with a limited sample size and by the nature of retrospective, represented a selected population, the overall 1 year stroke recurrence (16.3%) was close to a published prospective series with population-based cohort which found a similar 1year stroke recurrence (17.1%) for intracranial atherosclerosis in Chinese population, and were also within the range of stroke recurrent rate reported in Warfarin–Aspirin Symptomatic Intracranial Disease Trial and Stenting versus Aggressive Medical Therapy for Intracranial Arterial Stenosis Trial.

Hypoperfusion may lead to border-zone infarction and disorder of regional microemboli clearance resulting in artery-to-artery embolism infarction located in the cortical region. In ICAS, good collateral circulation is able to compensate for the decreased blood flow in the ischaemic tissue due to artery stenosis or occlusion. Collaterals characterise dynamic and various complementary patterns in cerebral blood circulation and the capability of collaterals greatly impacts the haemodynamic status. In the present study, although associated with prognosis, hypoperfusion volume at 2.5 s CBF was not helpful to interpret collateral circulation and severity of hypoperfusion. We developed a haemodynamic parameter (HVR) and showed its correlation with collateral grades assessed by conventional angiography, which also promoted HVR as a potential imaging marker to evaluate collaterals for...
In this symptomatic cohort, plaque enhancement was observed in 37/43 patients. In our study, plaque enhancement was prevalent. However, no significant difference was detected between patients with or without 1 year ischaemic events. Our data showed that the predictive value of plaque enhancement was still not clear, and the role of haemodynamic impairments might outweigh plaque vulnerability in the evolution of stroke recurrence. The drawbacks of one-shot plaque enhancement for the evaluation of plaque vulnerability might attribute to such result. We speculate that plaque enhancement may be reliable to assess the current status of the culprit lesion. Indeed, since plaque enhancement usually changes with time, only those culprit lesions with persistent enhancement may contribute to the occurrence of a new embolism infarction. Further study is needed, however, to clarify this speculation.

Moreover, it should be noticed that the 1 year ischaemic events rate of this population was 7/43 (16.3%). Since all patients were treated by aggressive medical therapy, patients with severe hypoperfusion might not be able to restore perfusion in a short period only by aggressive medical therapy, when collateral circulation was lacking, and was therefore at a high risk of stroke recurrence. Thereby, haemodynamic impairments indexed by HVR may be valuable for patients’ selection for preventive intervention decision making in ICAS.

There are some limitations in the present study. First, the study sample was small. Correlation between HVR and collateral grades was performed in only 17 patients, which might limit the power and precision to detect more significant results. Second, ASL was limited by the PLD time which is currently no longer than 5s. Total flow including antegrade and collateral flow may not reach the peak flow during this period. Therefore, exact hypoperfusion volume may be overestimated. Although measuring the exact final hypoperfusion volume would be ideal, the HVR that we proposed in this study can be interpreted as a relative hypoperfusion volume evolution along with delay time prolonging. It contains information about spatial and temporal changes. Third, we did not perform any comparative analysis between good and poor functional outcome (mRS >2) because only two patients had a poor outcome. Fourth, only MLN plaque enhancement was graded since a previous study reported that recurrent stroke might be related to proximal site enhancement of plaque. Fifth, no intraplaque haemorrhage was detected in this cohort since a previous study reported that intraplaque haemorrhage was as high as about 20% in symptomatic severe stenosis of the MCA, which might induce bias in the evaluation of plaque characteristics.

CONCLUSION
Haemodynamic impairments may have played a critical role in the mechanism of stroke recurrence. HVR obtained from two PLD ASL may associate with collateral circulation and be useful for the prediction of recurrent stroke and therapy decision support in patients with ICAS. However, given the small number of analysed cohort, the
present study may be viewed for hypothesis generation rather than to guide patient selection in clinical practice. Prospective studies are warranted.
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