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ABSTRACT
Infections occur commonly after stroke and are strongly associated with an unfavourable functional outcome of these patients. Approaches for effective management of poststroke infection remain scarce, presenting an urgent need for preventive anti-infection strategies for patients who have suffered a stroke. Emerging evidence indicates that stroke impairs systemic immune responses and increases the susceptibility to infections, suggesting that the modification of impaired immune defence could be beneficial. In this review, we summarised previous attempts to prevent poststroke infections using prophylactic antibiotics and the current understanding of stroke-induced immunosuppression. Further elucidation of the immune mechanisms of stroke will pave the way to tailored design of new treatment to combat poststroke infection via modifying the immune system.

INTRODUCTION
Infectious complications, pneumonia, urinary tract infections and infections in other organ systems, are common in patients with stroke with an incidence of ~30%.1-3 Poststroke infection is associated with about 20% of the deaths and related to considerable morbidity in stroke survivors.4-6 Given the well known detrimental effects of stroke-associated infection, effective management is critical. Antibiotics are the traditional approach used to manage infections, however, the recently completed clinical trials haven’t demonstrated significant benefit of prophylactic antibiotics,7-10 presenting an urgent need to better understand the pathogenesis of stroke-associated infection and identify viable approaches to combat infectious complications. The inhibition of immunity after stroke has been recognised as a key contributor to infection in patients with stroke. Our increasing knowledge on stroke-induced immunosuppression poses an opportunity to boost immune defence and restrict poststroke infection. In this review, by summarising previous studies regarding attempts to manage poststroke infections and mechanisms of stroke-induced immunosuppression, we aim to provide insight into the basis of stroke-induced immunosuppression and propose new modalities to restore host immune defence after stroke.

Prophylactic antibiotic treatment
Studies in animal models of ischaemic stroke have demonstrated that preventive treatment with antibiotics reduces the incidence of infections, and improves mortality and neurological function.9 Based on these encouraging findings, a series of clinical trials that tested the safety and efficacy of prophylactic use of antibiotics in patients with stroke have been conducted (table 1). Among these 15 clinical studies, patient inclusion, stroke types, antibiotics selection and treatment duration differ from each other. The majority of these studies treated patients with broad-spectrum antibiotics to cover the most common causative bacteria of pneumonia and urinary tract infections, except for three studies that tested active bacteria of pneumonia and urinary tract infections, except for three studies that tested.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Stroke type</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Antibiotics regimen</th>
<th>Primary outcomes</th>
<th>Conclusion on patients’ outcome</th>
<th>Conclusion on infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Halms et al[1]</td>
<td>Phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Moxifloxacin, 400 mg daily for 5 days starting within 36 hours</td>
<td>Infection within 11 days</td>
<td>Improved neurological outcome and survival</td>
<td>Reduced infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamorro et al[2]</td>
<td>Phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled</td>
<td>Ischaemic/haemorrhagic (110/26)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Levofloxacin, 500 mg daily for 3 days, starting within 24 hours</td>
<td>Incidence of infection 7 days after stroke</td>
<td>Levofloxacin could lessen the chances of functional recovery.</td>
<td>Did not prevent infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz et al[3]</td>
<td>Phase 2, randomised, controlled</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Mezlocillin plus sulbactam, 2 g/1 g every 8 hours for 4 days, starting within 24 hours</td>
<td>Incidence and height of fever after stroke</td>
<td>May be associated with a better clinical outcome.</td>
<td>Decreased infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiri-Nikpour et al[11]</td>
<td>Phase 2, open-label, evaluator-blinded</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Minocycline 200 mg daily for 5 days, starting from 6 hours to 24 hours</td>
<td>NIHSS score at 90 days</td>
<td>Better outcomes at 90 days in the minocycline group.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohler et al[13]</td>
<td>Phase 2, randomised open-label, blinded end point evaluation</td>
<td>Ischaemic/haemorrhagic (77/11)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Minocycline 100 mg every 12 hours, five doses in total, within 24 hours</td>
<td>mRS at 90 days</td>
<td>Safe but not efficacious.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamp et al[12]</td>
<td>Phase 2, open-label, evaluator-blinded</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Minocycline 200 mg daily for 5 days, starting within 6-24 hours</td>
<td>NIHSS change from baseline to 90 days</td>
<td>Improved patients’ outcome at 90 days.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulm et al[15]</td>
<td>Phase 2, randomised, controlled</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>PCTus-guided antibiotic, starting within 40 hours for 7 days</td>
<td>mRS at 3 months</td>
<td>Did not improve functional outcome at 3 months.</td>
<td>Did not reduce pneumonia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westendorp et al[8]</td>
<td>Phase 3, randomised, open-label, masked</td>
<td>Ischaemic/haemorrhagic (2125/269)</td>
<td>2538</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone 2 g, intravenously once daily for 4 days starting within 24 hours after onset</td>
<td>mRS at 3 months</td>
<td>Did not improve functional outcome at 3 months.</td>
<td>Reduced all infection rates and urinary tract infection rates, but not pneumonia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalra et al[7]</td>
<td>Phase 3, cluster-randomised, open-label, masked</td>
<td>Ischaemic/haemorrhagic (1091/125)</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>Antibiotic conformed to local policy, starting within 48 hours, for 7 days</td>
<td>Pneumonia in the first 14 days</td>
<td>Did not improve neurological function and outcome.</td>
<td>Did not reduce pneumonia.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mRS, modified Rankin Scale; NA, not available; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; PCTus, procalcitonin ultrasensitive.
and patient inclusion criteria, the results of the two studies are highly consistent, that is, the treatment regimens of both studies failed to reduce the rate of pneumonia. Additionally, a recent study which tested antibiotic treatment in patients selected by the ultrasensitive procalcitonin test did not find a reduction of pneumonia in antibiotic-treated patients either. Therefore, since the antibiotic selection and treatment time window in these studies cannot prevent poststroke pneumonia, a possible explanation is that stroke-associated pneumonia might partly be a pneumonitis with more sterile components. In light of this speculation, the pathophysiological changes in the lung poststroke warrant further investigations for possible identification of new treatment strategies.

Although these clinical studies indicated that several antibiotics in different patients with stroke are safe, limitations regarding the selection of antibiotics for patients with stroke were also noted. For example, some antibiotics have neurotoxic effects and can worsen outcome. A preclinical study found that enrofloxacin (a fluoroquinolone antibiotic) treatment from day 1 to day 7 in rats undergoing middle cerebral artery occlusion, an ischaemic stroke model, worsened outcomes at 1 month. In this context, antibiotics that have neuroprotective properties should be considered in priority, like minocycline and ceftriaxone. However, the benefit of ceftriaxone was not demonstrated in PASS, which yielded to the secondary consideration of antibiotics choice, that is, whether broad-spectrum antibiotics are suitable for intervention in patients with stroke. Exposure to these broad-spectrum antibiotics may cause dysregulation of normal flora in the body, which might influence the stroke outcome, as suggested by a recent animal study in which broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment caused depletion of mice intestinal flora, worsening the outcome of stroke. Therefore, the selection of antibiotics for patients with stroke must comprehensively consider the benefits as well as secondary adverse effects of the treatment.

As a severe type of stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) accounts for about 10%–15% of all stroke types and is associated with high mortality and morbidity. Infection is also a common and important complication in patients with ICH, and it can influence neurological function in the acute phase as well as long-term recovery. The reported infection rates in patients with ICH are still lacking. However, four of the published studies mentioned above (table 1) also recruited patients diagnosed with ICH. Two studies included only 26 and 11 patients with ICH, respectively, and thus are not suitable for subgroup analysis. In PASS, 269 patients with ICH were enrolled; subgroup analysis indicated that preventive ceftriaxone does not improve outcome in these patients either, however, whether or not preventive ceftriaxone was able to reduce the rate of pneumonia post ICH was not reported. In the STROKE-IFN Study, 125 patients with ICH were included; subgroup analysis indicated that prophylactic antibiotic treatment might reduce the rate of pneumonia in patients with ICH within 14 days after stroke, a noteworthy finding that warrants further confirmation in future studies with larger sample sizes.

Overall, for patients with AIS, prophylactic antibiotic treatment can reduce the rates of both total and urinary tract infections, but no such benefit was seen for stroke-associated pneumonia. In addition, preventive antibiotic treatment does not improve the outcome in patients. Hence, these findings do not support the use of antibiotics in a preventive manner. Preclinical and clinical evidence for the use of antibiotics in patients with ICH requires further enlightenment.

**Stroke-induced immunosuppression**

The immune system and nervous system crosstalk with each other via multiple facets to maintain the homoeostasis of both systems under physiology conditions. Severe brain injuries, including stroke, can interrupt such balance and lead to a series of changes in both systems. Brain injury after an ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke leads to the activation and infiltration of inflammatory cells into the brain. While poststroke inflammation may contribute to the clearance of tissue debris and tissue repair, most published literature indicates that inflammation in the brain during the acute phase of stroke promotes the expansion of stroke lesions and worsens neurodeficits. Further, inhibition of the immune response in the brain during the acute phase can limit the extent of stroke injury. Conversely, the injured brain can reshape peripheral immunity and transition the functional status of the peripheral immune system from competence to suppression after the acute phase of stroke, as manifested by lymphopenia, decreased levels of inflammatory cytokines, monocyte and lymphocyte dysfunction, and atrophy of secondary lymphoid organs. Brain lesion size has been considered as an independent risk factor of poststroke immunosuppression and infectious complications. It has been postulated that stroke-induced immunosuppression might be an adaptive response to acute brain injury, because systemic immunosuppression may limit the overwhelming inflammation in the brain or reduce the occurrence of autoimmune reactions against neuroantigens. However, a protective role of immunosuppression after stroke, if any, is uncertain and remains to be defined.

A consequence of immunosuppression has been linked to the increased risk of infection after stroke onset. An established concept is that brain-derived neurogenic innervations are in control of systemic immunity. In the context of stroke, brain injury-induced activation of neurogenic pathways, including the sympathetic innervation, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and parasympathetic innervation work together...
to influence the magnitude and intensity of systemic immune response. The central mediators of these pathways include norepinephrine, acetylcholine and glucocorticoid hormones. Activation of the sympathetic nervous system induces the release of catecholamines from sympathetic nerve terminals. Transient increase of catecholamine release often leads to increased blood immune cells by mobilising them from peripheral reservoirs such as the spleen; however, stroke induces a prolonged elevation of circulating catecholamines, which promotes the apoptosis of immune cells and leads to a decrease of peripheral immune cells and a bias towards T helper cell 2 (Th2) immune response. Glucocorticoids, key mediators for HPA axis function, have antiproliferative effects to induce apoptosis of immune cells. Additionally, glucocorticoids can promote the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines like transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), while inhibiting the production of proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin (IL) 1, IL-8 and tumour necrosis factor α (TNF-α). The anti-inflammatory effects of cholinergic pathways mediated by acetylcholine were recently uncovered; macrophages can be quickly inhibited when exposed to acetylcholine, with decreased secretion of proinflammatory cytokines like TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-18.

In addition to peripheral immunosuppression, stroke also suppresses immunity in the ischaemic brain. Ischaemic neurons can secrete several neurotransmitters like acetylcholine (Ach), glutamate, serotonin, and so on, which can directly interact with the receptors of peripheral infiltrated lymphocytes and modulate their functional status. A model of stroke-induced immunosuppression is illustrated in figure 1 using natural killer (NK) cells as an example. The identification of the mechanisms underlying stroke-induced immunosuppression offers the possibility of the use of immune modulation as a novel approach to boost host defence and combat poststroke infections.

**Potential therapies to combat stroke-associated infection**

Animal experiments demonstrated that pharmacological blockade of the sympathetic pathway or HPA axis by β-blockers or glucocorticoid receptor antagonists could reduce stroke-induced immune dysfunction and post-stroke infection to improve animals’ functional outcome (table 2). However, the clinical relevance remains unclear (table 3). A small prospective study indicated that β-blocker use is associated with less severe stroke at presentation, with lower thrombin, haemoglobin A1 C levels, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Another retrospective analysis of 841 patients with ischaemic stroke, 10.6%...
of whom received β-blockers during hospitalisation, also implied that β-blockers might be neuroprotective, as the use of β-blockers was associated with reduced risk of early death; however, this association no longer exists when excluding mortality from cardiovascular causes. Of interest, a study that included 625 patients, among which 553 patients were admitted with AIS and 72 with ICH, excluding mortality from cardiovascular causes. Preclinical data have demonstrated that adoptive transfer T or NK cells, as well as injection of IFN-γ, interferon-γ, iNKT, invariant NKT; MCAO, middle cerebral artery occlusion; WT, wildtype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Objects</th>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prass et al</td>
<td>Mouse, 60 min MCAO</td>
<td>β-blocker, propranolol, HPA blocker, RU486</td>
<td>Prevented bacterial infections post-MCAO and reduced mouse mortality. Did not prevent bacterial infections after MCAO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mracsko et al</td>
<td>Mouse, 60 min MCAO</td>
<td>β2-adrenergic receptor blocker, HPA blocker, RU486</td>
<td>Preserved IFN-γ production by lymphocytes after stroke. Prevented poststroke lymphopenia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajmo Jr et al</td>
<td>Rat, permanent MCAO</td>
<td>Pan-adrenergic receptor blocker, carvedilol, β-blocker, propranolol, α1 receptor blocker, prazosin</td>
<td>Prevented the reduction in spleen size, reduced infarct volume; propranolol treatment also had no effects on spleen size and stroke outcome. No effects on spleen size and stroke outcome. Prevented the reduction in spleen size; no effect on infarct volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Römer et al</td>
<td>Mouse, both WT and 2D2, 60 min MCAO</td>
<td>β-blocker, propranolol, HPA blocker, RU486</td>
<td>Both reduced infarct volumes, decreased infection rate and increased long-term survival of 2D2 and WT mice; increased autoreactive CNS antigen-specific T cell responses in the brain but did not worsen functional long-term outcome in the 2D2 stroke model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong et al</td>
<td>Mouse, 60 min MCAO</td>
<td>β-blocker, propranolol</td>
<td>Preserved iNKT cell function and reduced poststroke infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al</td>
<td>Mouse, 60 min MCAO</td>
<td>β-blocker, propranolol, HPA blocker, RU486</td>
<td>Propranolol and RU486 synergistically inhibited immunosuppression poststroke, prevented infection and improved the functional outcome of mice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSIONS
Poststroke infections, especially pneumonia, still present challenges for clinical management of patients with stroke. Preventive administration of antibiotics can somewhat reduce infection rates but not pneumonia, and cannot improve the outcome and survival in patients with stroke; thus, the use of antibiotics in a prophylactic manner remains controversial. Modulation of the
immune system via neurogenic pathways may serve as a potential therapy for patients with stroke. Reported clinical studies indicated a discrepancy in the responsiveness to treatment between poststroke pneumonia and other infections, such as urinary tract infection. This suggests that poststroke pneumonia could be more refractory to treatment than other types of infections. Considering the currently limited treatment options for stroke, future design of stroke treatment is imperative to mitigate immunosuppression, and thus to decrease the risk of infectious complications after stroke.
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